Review

Insights from model organisms on the functions of the tumor suppressor protein LKB1: Zebrafish chips in

Yme U. van der Velden and Anna-Pavlina G. Haramis

Department of Molecular Genetics, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Key words: LKB1, energy metabolism, cell polarity, animal models. *Received:* 4/12/11; Accepted: 4/25/11; Published: 4/26/11 *Corresponding author:* Anna-Pavlina Haramis; *E-mail:* a.haramis@nki.nl

Copyright: © van der Velden and Haramis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Abstract: The tumor suppressor LKB1 has emerged as a critical regulator of cell polarity and energy-metabolism. Studies in diverse model organisms continue to unravel the pathways downstream of LKB1; the emerging picture is that the outcomes of LKB1 signaling are mediated by a plethora of tissue-specific and context-dependent effectors.

INTRODUCTION

In 1998, the gene responsible for the rare dominantly inherited disorder Peutz-Jeghers syndrome[1]. characterized by gastrointestinal hamartomatous polyposis and an increased predisposition to cancer [2], identified as LKB1, which encodes a was serine/threonine protein kinase. In addition to the familial syndrome, somatic mutations in LKB1 were later found in over 30% of lung adenocarcinomas [3] and as the first identified recurrent mutation in endometrial cancer[4]. However clues as to its function were first discovered only in 2003, when it was identified as the long sought-after kinase that activates the alpha subunit of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) [5],[6], linking LKB1 signaling to energymetabolism control. Since then, LKB1 has been found to phosphorvlate 12 other AMPK-related kinases including the microtubule-affinity-regulating kinase (MARK1-4), brain specific kinase (BRSK1-2), nuclear AMPK-related kinase (NUAK1-2), salt-inducible kinase (SIK1-3) and SNF-related kinase (SNRK) [7,8]. These results suggest that LKB1 is an upstream "master regulator" of energy homeostasis, cell polarity, DNA damage and cell cycle control [9].

Genetic analyses of LKB1 deficiency in higher eukaryotes have provided a framework to further dissect the functions of LKB1. However, the biology of LKB1 signaling appears to be highly complex, as loss of LKB1 function in invertebrates and vertebrates have generated divergent results in different tissues and contexts. In this research perspective, we review the current understanding of LKB1 function in cellular polarity and energy metabolism derived from loss-offunction studies performed in different model organisms. For a more comprehensive overview of LKB1, we refer the reader to these recent excellent reviews [10,11,12,13,14,15]. In the last section we will discuss how the recently generated Lkb1-deficient zebrafish can provide a new tool to gain important insight into the function of this tumor suppressor protein.

LKB1 function during early development: polarization of the oocyte

Ten years before the human *LKB1* gene was cloned, the *C. elegans* homolog, abnormal embryonic PARtitioning of cytoplasm family member 4 (par-4), was retrieved from a maternal-effect-lethal screen for genes required for proper segregation of cytoplasmic factors in the first cell cycles of embryogenesis [16]. *par-4* mutant embryos had defects in several aspects of cell polarity and asymmetric cell division, which resulted in the formation of an amorphous mass of cells without distinct morphogenesis [17].

This function for LKB1 in polarization during early embryogenesis was subsequently found to be

conserved, at least In Drosophila melanogaster. The Drosophila egg is a highly polarized structure well before fertilization and the origin of this polarization might even be traced back to the first cell division of the cytoblast in the fly ovary [18]. Differentiation of germline cells into oocytes coincides with asymmetric localization of proteins and mRNAs that set up the anterior-posterior (A-P) and dorsal-ventral (D-V) axes within the oocyte [18]. lkb1 mutant germline clones showed disrupted localization of various mRNAs resulting in defective oocyte polarity [19]. LKB1deficiency in follicle cells also led to polarization defects including disorganization of the epithelial monolayer [19]. These polarity defects were not fully penetrant and it was suggested that LKB1 is essential for the establishment of epithelial polarity in the follicle, but not for its maintenance [20]. However, under conditions of glucose starvation, polarity defects were observed in all examined follicle cells, indicating that LKB1 is critical also for the maintenance of epithelial polarity in follicle cells upon energetic stress. As LKB1 is known to regulate energy homeostasis, as outlined in more detail below, this suggests that diverse are connected under LKB1 functions certain physiological conditions. Similar results were obtained for ampka mutant follicle cells [20]. Indeed, many aspects of the polarity defects in LKB1-deficient follicle cells were rescued by introduction of a phosphomimetic ampka mutant demonstrating the involvement of the LKB1-AMPK axis in polarization during early development [21].

Together, this illustrates the high conservation of LKB1 function in the earliest polarization processes in both worms and flies. Although it remains to be determined whether this function is also conserved in vertebrates, interestingly LKB1 is asymmetrically localized to the animal pole in the mouse oocyte [22].

LKB1 and cell polarization in later stages of development

LKB1 also has a conserved role in polarization during later stages of development. For example, loss of LKB1 signaling leads to impaired neuronal polarity in both invertebrates and vertebrates. In *C. elegans*, temperature-sensitive *par-4* mutants showed neuronal polarity defects in ventral cord neurons. This function was thought to be regulated by PAR-4-dependent phosphorylation of PAR-1, which is the homolog of human MAP/microtubule affinity-regulating kinases, MARK [23]. In *Drosophila*, depletion of LKB1 in neuroblasts caused polyploidism in larval brains, but via a Par1-independent mechanism [24]. Instead, defects in mitotic spindle formation and mislocalization of the Baz/PAR-6/aPKC complex, a protein complex involved in cellular polarity, likely contributed to the reported phenotype [24]. In mice, conditional *lkb1* deletion in telencephalic progenitors led to impaired polarization of cortical neurons through impaired activation of the AMPK-related kinases SAD-A/B. Thus, LKB1 is required for polarization also in the vertebrate brain, although the molecular mechanisms involved are to a certain extent organism-specific [25].

In addition to neuronal polarization, LKB1 has been implicated in the polarization of epithelial structures, such as photoreceptors in the Drosophila eye. The Drosophila retina is derived from the eye imaginal disc, epithelial structure. Eye-specific which is an inactivation of LKB1 led to severe loss of polarity in photoreceptors at pupal stages [26]. Importantly, AMPK was not the primary LKB1 target in Drosophila eye development, but rather other AMPK-related kinases including SIK, NUAK and PAR-1 [26]. In vertebrates, activation of LKB1 induced complete polarization of single intestinal epithelial cells in culture [27]. Furthermore, AMPK activation is required for tightjunction formation and polarization in the Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) epithelial cell line, although this may not be exclusively dependent on LKB1 [28], [29]. LKB1 null mice do not survive beyond E10.5 and show several defects including mesenchymal cell death as well as neural tube and vascular abnormalities associated with increased VEGF signaling [30]. Somewhat unexpectedly, inactivation of *Lkb1* in several mouse tissues did not lead to gross epithelial polarity defects, with the notable exception of the pancreas [31,32].

Thus, it appears that LKB1 regulates polarization during development throughout the animal kingdom in a tissue- and context-dependent manner, and via phosphorylation of distinct substrates.

LKB1: a master regulator of energy homeostasis

Probably the best-studied function of LKB1 to date, at least in vertebrates, is the regulation of energy homeostasis, particularly through AMPK activation and the target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway [33,34]. Upon energetic stress induced by a variety of stimuli such as food-deprivation, exercise, osmotic stress and hypoxia, AMPK is phosphorylated and activated by LKB1. AMPK then phosphorylates tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2), which leads to inhibition of TOR complex 1 (TORC1) activity [34]. TORC1 activity is associated with cell growth and viability since TORC1 stimulates anabolic processes such as protein synthesis while inhibiting catabolic processes like the degradation of cellular components by autophagy [35]. Thus, upon LKB1-dependent activation of AMPK, TORC1 signaling is inhibited, which promotes energy conservation under conditions of energetic stress.

Given the embryonic lethal phenotype of the knockout mouse, the role of LKB1 in energy homeostasis at the whole organism level in animals has only been studied in C. elegans and, more recently, in D. rerio. These studies, which are described in detail below, have revealed a far more complex role for LKB1 in energy homeostasis beyond only the regulation of TOR signaling via AMPK. Indeed, in addition to TSC2, AMPK has a multitude of direct substrates, many of which are also involved in metabolism control [11,14]. In C. elegans, larvae developmentally arrest and enter the so-called "dauer" phase under unfavorable environmental conditions. Dauer larvae do not feed. become stress-resistant, are extremely long-lived and "non-aging" [36]. In order to ensure long-term survival, fat is stored in the hypodermis, which is an organ akin to the skin of higher organisms [37]. Dauer larvae with compromised LKB1/AMPK signaling rapidly depleted hypodermic fat storages and die prematurely due to vital organ failure [38]. This inappropriate fat depletion was found to be due to increased activity of adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL-1), a direct target of AMPK. Similar to this result in C. elegans, we recently reported that *lkb1* mutant zebrafish are also unable to cope with energetic stress [39]. Although Lkb1 deficiency in D. rerio did not lead to overt developmental defects, lkb1 mutants did fail to downregulate metabolism once the yolk, which provides energy in the first days of development, was consumed. These lkb1 mutants exhibited hallmarks of a starvation response at the cellular and biochemical level, displayed profoundly decreased ATP levels and became energy-depleted much sooner that food-deprived wild type animals. Thus, in both worms and zebrafish, LKB1 is essential for control of whole-body energy homeostasis and adaptation of metabolism to changes in energy availability, which is essential for long-term viability of the organism.

Zebrafish *lkb1* mutants die two days after yolk absorption in stark contrast to wild-type larvae that can survive food deprivation for more than six days. Interestingly, two days of food deprivation did not lead to detectable AMPK phosphorylation in wild-type larvae, suggesting that deregulated AMPK signaling may not be the sole cause for impaired energy metabolism control in *lkb1* larvae. Furthermore, TOR signaling was not severely deregulated in *lkb1* mutants. Thus, we proposed that the AMPK-TORC1 axis might not be the critical or only effector of Lkb1-mediated maintenance of whole-organism energy homeostasis, at least in this setting. Interestingly, recent work on the effect of *Lkb1* inactivation in mouse hematopoietic stem cells showed that, while LKB1 was critically required to regulate energy metabolism and maintain cell survival, the effects were again largely independent of AMPK and TORC1 signaling [40,41,42]. Together, these findings illustrate that *in vivo* LKB1 controls metabolism though several pathways in addition to TORC1 signaling and showcase the complexity of LKB1 biology.

A zebrafish perspective on LKB1

As zebrafish *lkb1* mutants survive embryonic development, unlike mice, they provide the first embryonic viable vertebrate model of homozygous *lkb1* deletion. This, combined with the many advantages of using zebrafish as a model organism, some of which are described below, should rapidly advance our understanding of LKB1 function.

One of the advantages of zebrafish is that the oocyte is externally fertilized, allowing early developmental processes, from fertilization onwards, to be easily analyzed. In addition, germline replacement methods [43] mean that an animal lacking both maternal and zygotic LKB1 can be generated. Maternal-zygotic zebrafish *lkb1* mutants will provide a system to address whether and how Lkb1 functions in the first cleavage stages in vertebrates.

Another attractive feature of the zebrafish is their small size and transparency during development. In combination with the availability of numerous transgenic lines expressing tissue-specific fluorescentlylabelled reporters, this allows real-time, *in vivo* visualization of various processes such as cell migration and organogenesis. Thus, questions pertaining to the biology of tissue physiology in a setting of Lkb1deficiency can be addressed.

Although addressing whether neuronal polarity was impaired was beyond the scope of our previous study, it is still possible that Lkb1 is required for polarization or asymmetric cell division in neuronal tissues in zebrafish, given this function is conserved in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila*. Should that be the case, the ease of performing forward genetic screens in zebrafish could help to dissect the pathway of neuronal polarization in vertebrates by identification of new proteins involved in this process.

Interestingly, we did not observe polarity defects in either the gut or the eye of zebrafish *lkb1* mutants, in

contrast to studies in human cell lines and *Drosophila* respectively, again highlighting the cell-type specificity and context-dependency of LKB1 function.

Since Lkb1 deficiency leads to impaired metabolic control upon energetic stress, it will be interesting to determine whether *lkb1* mutants are hypersensitive to other types of stress, such as osmotic stress and DNA damage. Our preliminary results showed that *lkb1* mutants are hypersensitive to mechanical stress, but only when they are under energetic stress, again illustrating that the metabolic functions of Lkb1 are tightly linked with other Lkb1-dependent processes.



Figure 1. Studies of LKB1-deficiency in flies, worms, mice and zebrafish have revealed that the tumor suppressor LKB1 has conserved and divergent roles in the regulation of cell polarization and energy metabolism processes.

Finally, since zebrafish *lkb1* mutants are embryonic viable they provide an excellent platform to conduct chemical genetic screens to identify molecular pathways that are regulated and/or cooperate with Lkb1 and lead to deregulation of metabolism. These types of screens could also identify compounds that can modulate metabolism and may prove to be useful for inhibiting growth of LKB1-deficient tumors.

CONCLUSION

LKB1 is a tumor suppressor gene and is mutated in a wide variety of human cancers. Thus, deciphering its

function could have direct clinical implications. Given the complexity of LKB1 function, which is illustrated by the diversity of its mutant phenotypes in a variety of model organisms and contexts, *lkb1* mutant zebrafish offer a powerful new tool for unraveling the numerous mechanisms and pathways regulated by LKB1. It also provides the unique opportunity to study LKB1 function at the whole organism level in vertebrates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work on LKB1 in the authors' laboratory was funded by a Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research Vidi Grant 91756322 to APGH.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors of this manuscript have no conflict of interests to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Jeghers H, Mc KV, Katz KH. Generalized intestinal polyposis and melanin spots of the oral mucosa, lips and digits; a syndrome of diagnostic significance. N. Engl. J. Med. 1949; 241:993, illust; passim.

2. Hemminki A, Markie D, Tomlinson I, Avizienyte E, Roth S, Loukola A, Bignell G, Warren W, Aminoff M, Hoglund P, Jarvinen H, Kristo P, Pelin K, Ridanpaa M, Salovaara R, Toro T, Bodmer W, Olschwang S, Olsen AS, Stratton MR, de la Chapelle A, Aaltonen LA. A serine/threonine kinase gene defective in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Nature. 1998; 391:184-187.

3. Sanchez-Cespedes M, Parrella P, Esteller M, Nomoto S, Trink B, Engles JM, Westra WH, Herman JG, Sidransky D. Inactivation of LKB1/STK11 is a common event in adenocarcinomas of the lung. Cancer Res. 2002; 62:3659-3662.

4. Contreras CM, Gurumurthy S, Haynie JM, Shirley LJ, Akbay EA, Wingo SN, Schorge JO, Broaddus RR, Wong KK, Bardeesy N, Castrillon DH. Loss of Lkb1 provokes highly invasive endometrial adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res. 2008; 68:759-766.

5. Hawley SA, Boudeau J, Reid JL, Mustard KJ, Udd L, Makela TP, Alessi DR, Hardie DG. Complexes between the LKB1 tumor suppressor, STRAD alpha/beta and MO25 alpha/beta are upstream kinases in the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade. J. Biol. 2003; 2:28.1-28.16.

6. Woods A, Johnstone SR, Dickerson K, Leiper FC, Fryer LG, Neumann D, Schlattner U, Wallimann T, Carlson M, Carling D. LKB1 is the upstream kinase in the AMP-activated protein kinase cascade. Curr. Biol. 2003; 13:2004-2008.

7. Lizcano JM, Goransson O, Toth R, Deak M, Morrice NA, Boudeau J, Hawley SA, Udd L, Makela TP, Hardie DG, Alessi DR. LKB1 is a master kinase that activates 13 kinases of the AMPK subfamily, including MARK/PAR-1. Embo J. 2004; 23:833-843.

8. Jaleel M, McBride A, Lizcano JM, Deak M, Toth R, Morrice NA, Alessi DR. Identification of the sucrose non-fermenting related kinase SNRK, as a novel LKB1 substrate. FEBS Lett. 2005; 579:1417-1423.

9. Hezel AF, Bardeesy N. LKB1; linking cell structure and tumor suppression. Oncogene. 2008; 27:6908-6919.

10. Alessi DR, Sakamoto K, Bayascas JR. LKB1-dependent signaling pathways. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2006; 75:137-163.

11. Shackelford DB, Shaw RJ. The LKB1-AMPK pathway: metabolism and growth control in tumour suppression. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2009; 9:563-575.

12. Jansen M, Ten Klooster JP, Offerhaus GJ, Clevers H. LKB1 and AMPK family signaling: the intimate link between cell polarity and energy metabolism. Physiol. Rev. 2009; 89:777-798.

13. Shelly M, Poo MM. Role of LKB1 - SAD/MARK pathway in neuronal polarization. Dev. Neurobiol. 2011.

14. van Veelen W, Korsse SE, van de Laar L, Peppelenbosch MP. The long and winding road to rational treatment of cancer associated with LKB1/AMPK/TSC/mTORC1 signaling. Oncogene. 2011.

15. Mirouse V, Billaud M. The LKB1/AMPK polarity pathway. FEBS Lett. 2010.

16. Kemphues KJ, Priess JR, Morton DG, Cheng NS. Identification of genes required for cytoplasmic localization in early C. elegans embryos. Cell. 1988; 52:311-320.

17. Morton DG, Roos JM, Kemphues KJ. par-4, a gene required for cytoplasmic localization and determination of specific cell types in Caenorhabditis elegans embryogenesis. Genetics. **1992**; 130:771-790.

18. Huynh JR, St Johnston D. The origin of asymmetry: early polarisation of the Drosophila germline cyst and oocyte. Curr. Biol. 2004; 14:R438-449.

19. Martin SG, St Johnston D. A role for Drosophila LKB1 in anterior-posterior axis formation and epithelial polarity. Nature. 2003; 421:379-384.

20. Mirouse V, Swick LL, Kazgan N, St Johnston D, Brenman JE. LKB1 and AMPK maintain epithelial cell polarity under energetic stress. J. Cell Biol. 2007; 177:387-392.

21. Lee JH, Koh H, Kim M, Kim Y, Lee SY, Karess RE, Lee SH, Shong M, Kim JM, Kim J, Chung J. Energy-dependent regulation of cell structure by AMP-activated protein kinase. Nature. 2007; 447:1017-1020.

22. Szczepanska K, Maleszewski M. LKB1/PAR4 protein is asymmetrically localized in mouse oocytes and associates with meiotic spindle. Gene Expr. Patterns. 2005; 6:86-93.

23. Kim JS, Hung W, Narbonne P, Roy R, Zhen M. C. elegans STRADalpha and SAD cooperatively regulate neuronal polarity and synaptic organization. Development. 2010; 137:93-102.

24. Bonaccorsi S, Mottier V, Giansanti MG, Bolkan BJ, Williams B, Goldberg ML, Gatti M. The Drosophila Lkb1 kinase is required for spindle formation and asymmetric neuroblast division. Development. 2007; 134:2183-2193.

25. Barnes AP, Lilley BN, Pan YA, Plummer LJ, Powell AW, Raines AN, Sanes JR, Polleux F. LKB1 and SAD kinases define a pathway required for the polarization of cortical neurons. Cell. 2007; 129:549-563.

26. Amin N, Khan A, St Johnston D, Tomlinson I, Martin S, Brenman J, McNeill H. LKB1 regulates polarity remodeling and adherens junction formation in the Drosophila eye. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 2009; 106:8941-8946.

27. Baas AF, Kuipers J, van der Wel NN, Batlle E, Koerten HK, Peters PJ, Clevers HC. Complete polarization of single intestinal

epithelial cells upon activation of LKB1 by STRAD. Cell. 2004; 116:457-466.

28. Zhang L, Li J, Young LH, Caplan MJ. AMP-activated protein kinase regulates the assembly of epithelial tight junctions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 2006; 103:17272-17277.

29. Zheng B, Cantley LC. Regulation of epithelial tight junction assembly and disassembly by AMP-activated protein kinase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 2007; 104:819-822.

30. Ylikorkala A, Rossi DJ, Korsisaari N, Luukko K, Alitalo K, Henkemeyer M, Makela TP. Vascular abnormalities and deregulation of VEGF in Lkb1-deficient mice. Science. 2001; 293:1323-1326.

31. Hezel AF, Gurumurthy S, Granot Z, Swisa A, Chu GC, Bailey G, Dor Y, Bardeesy N, Depinho RA. Pancreatic LKB1 deletion leads to acinar polarity defects and cystic neoplasms. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2008; 28:2414-2425.

32. Granot Z, Swisa A, Magenheim J, Stolovich-Rain M, Fujimoto W, Manduchi E, Miki T, Lennerz JK, Stoeckert CJ, Jr., Meyuhas O, Seino S, Permutt MA, Piwnica-Worms H, Bardeesy N, Dor Y. LKB1 regulates pancreatic beta cell size, polarity, and function. Cell Metab. 2009; 10:296-308.

33. Shaw RJ. LKB1 and AMP-activated protein kinase control of mTOR signalling and growth. Acta Physiol. (Oxf). 2009; 196:65-80.

34. Shaw RJ, Bardeesy N, Manning BD, Lopez L, Kosmatka M, DePinho RA, Cantley LC. The LKB1 tumor suppressor negatively regulates mTOR signaling. Cancer Cell. 2004; 6:91-99.

35. Wullschleger S, Loewith R, Hall MN. TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. Cell. 2006; 124:471-484.

36. Klass M, Hirsh D. Non-ageing developmental variant of Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature. 1976; 260:523-525.

37. Burnell AM, Houthoofd K, O'Hanlon K, Vanfleteren JR. Alternate metabolism during the dauer stage of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Exp. Gerontol. 2005; 40:850-856.

38. Narbonne P, Roy R. Caenorhabditis elegans dauers need LKB1/AMPK to ration lipid reserves and ensure long-term survival. Nature. 2009; 457:210-214.

39. van der Velden YU, Wang L, Zevenhoven J, van Rooijen E, van Lohuizen M, Giles RH, Clevers H, Haramis AP. The serine-threonine kinase LKB1 is essential for survival under energetic stress in zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 2011; 108:4358-4363.

40. Gan B, Hu J, Jiang S, Liu Y, Sahin E, Zhuang L, Fletcher-Sananikone E, Colla S, Wang YA, Chin L, Depinho RA. Lkb1 regulates quiescence and metabolic homeostasis of haematopoietic stem cells. Nature. 468:701-704.

41. Gurumurthy S, Xie SZ, Alagesan B, Kim J, Yusuf RZ, Saez B, Tzatsos A, Ozsolak F, Milos P, Ferrari F, Park PJ, Shirihai OS, Scadden DT, Bardeesy N. The Lkb1 metabolic sensor maintains haematopoietic stem cell survival. Nature. 468:659-663.

42. Nakada D, Saunders TL, Morrison SJ. Lkb1 regulates cell cycle and energy metabolism in haematopoietic stem cells. Nature. 468:653-658.

43. Ciruna B, Weidinger G, Knaut H, Thisse B, Thisse C, Raz E, Schier AF. Production of maternal-zygotic mutant zebrafish by germ-line replacement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 2002; 99:14919-14924.