Research Paper

Higher expression of somatic repair genes in long-lived ant queens than workers

Eric R. Lucas^{1,2}, Eyal Privman³, Laurent Keller¹

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. ²Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK ³Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology and Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, 31905 Haifa, Israel

Correspondence to: Eric R. Lucas; Laurent Keller; email: eric.lucas@lstmed.ac.uk; laurent.keller@unil.chKey words: ageing, lifespan, somatic maintenance, social insects, gene expressionReceived: June 23, 2016Accepted: August 19, 2016Published: September 6, 2016

ABSTRACT

Understanding why organisms senesce is a fundamental question in biology. One common explanation is that senescence results from an increase in macromolecular damage with age. The tremendous variation in lifespan between genetically identical queen and worker ants, ranging over an order of magnitude, provides a unique system to study how investment into processes of somatic maintenance and macromolecular repair influence lifespan. Here we use RNAseq to compare patterns of expression of genes involved in DNA and protein repair of age-matched queens and workers. There was no difference between queens and workers in 1-day-old individuals, but the level of expression of these genes increased with age and this up-regulation was greater in queens than in workers, resulting in significantly queen-biased expression in 2-month-old individuals in both legs and brains. Overall, these differences are consistent with the hypothesis that higher longevity is associated with increased investment into somatic repair.

INTRODUCTION

Since senescence is a detrimental process with important societal and economic impacts, substantial effort has been invested into understanding its causes and many theories have been proposed to explain its origins [1-9]. One of these theories proposes that senescence is caused by macromolecular damage that accumulates with age due to incomplete somatic maintenance [5,10]. Lifespan is thus expected to be modulated by investment into physiological processes of damage prevention and repair. So far, investigations of somatic maintenance have mostly focused on systems of damage prevention such as anti-oxidants, and have for the most part refuted the hypothesis that longevity is achieved through damage prevention [11-18]. A possible explanation for this patterns is that there is a limited potential to freely modulate the amount of reactive oxygen species because they are important signalling molecules [19,20]. Such constraints are unlikely to apply to systems of macro-molecular repair, which may effectively affect lifespan by modulating the accumulation of damage with age.

Various forms of macromolecular damage have been

linked to senescence. For example, DNA may be damaged or mutated in several ways, and there is evidence from mammalian studies that mutations to genes involved in DNA repair accelerate senescence [21]. Similarly, the cellular accumulation of damaged proteins can be toxic and a range of maintenance mechanisms exist to keep this accumulation in check, many of which have been linked to ageing and longevity [22]. One such mechanism is the Ubiquitin Proteasome System (UPS), which degrades misfolded or damaged proteins by labelling them with ubiquitin and subsequently degrading them. Subunits of the proteasome involved in the UPS have been found to be associated with lifespan and stress resistance in a range of species, from yeast to humans [23-26].

The aim of this study is to investigate whether natural variation in lifespan is associated with differential expression of genes involved in the repair of DNA and proteins. To study the role of these somatic repair genes, we take advantage of the striking variation found in social insects, where queens and workers can differ in their lifespan by more than an order of magnitude [27]. Importantly, the difference in lifespan must be due to differences in gene expression, since there are usually no genetic differences between

Table 1. Results of Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) investigating whether the somatic repair gene	
set was differentially expressed by caste.	

	1-day	2-month
brains	P=0.21 n=20	P=0.011 (Q) n=20
legs	P=0.052 n=20	P=0.0002 (Q) n=20

P: the P-value of the GSEA analysis; Q/W: indicates whether the bias is towards queens or workers; n: the number of genes involved in the GSEA analysis.

castes [28,29]. A particularly interesting species for studies of ageing is the ant *Lasius niger*, where queens can survive as long as 29 years [30] whereas workers live for only one or two years even in laboratory conditions [31]. Since lifespan is expected to be modulated by investment into somatic damage repair, we test the prediction that queens of *L. niger* have higher expression of somatic repair genes than workers.

RESULTS

Twenty somatic repair genes were identified from the literature and mapped to orthologs in the *L. niger* transcriptome. These genes had roles in four DNA repair pathways and one protein maintenance pathway (Supplementary Material Table S1). Two hundred and forty four genes in the transcriptome were annotated with the Gene Ontology (GO) term "DNA repair", and 162 genes were annotated with the GO term "proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process" (PUPCP).

In 1-day-old individuals, the level of expression of the 20 somatic repair genes was similar for queens and workers both for the brains and the legs (there was a tendency for higher expression in queens than workers for the legs, but the difference was not significant; Table 1, Figure 1a&c). Over the following two months, the level of expression of the 20 somatic repair genes increased in both castes for both the legs

and brains, the increase being significant in all caste/ tissue combinations except worker brains (queen brains: GE = 6.7, n = 20, P = 0.02; worker brains: GE = 4.1, n = 20, P = 0.34; queen legs: GE = 9.6, n = 20, P < 0.0002; worker legs: GE = 9.9, n = 20, P < 0.0002). There was a tendency for a greater increase with age in queens than workers (although non-significantly so; brains: GE = 5.77, n = 20, P = 0.051; legs: GE = 5.1, n = 20, P = 0.13), resulting in a significant queen-bias in the expression of the 20 somatic repair genes in both tissues in 2-month-old individuals (Table 1, Figure 1b&d). Expression of these genes was therefore queenbiased in an age-dependent manner, with 2-month-old queens showing significantly higher expression than workers of the same age.

Genes annotated with the GO term "DNA repair" showed no caste-bias in expression in 1-day-old individuals (Table 2). The level of expression of these genes increased over the first two months of adulthood, the increase being significant in all caste/tissue combinations except worker brains (queen brains: lfdr = 0.0008; worker brains: lfdr = 0.15; queen legs: lfdr < 0.0001; worker legs: lfdr < 0.0001). This increase in DNA repair gene expression tended to be greater in queens than workers (brains: lfdr = 0.083; legs: lfdr = 0.15), leading to a significant queen-bias in the expression of genes annotated with the GO term "DNA repair" in the legs of 2-month-old individuals, but not in brains (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of enrichment analysis for the GO terms "DNA repair" and "proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process" (PUPCP).

		1-day	2-month
brains	DNA repair	lfdr=0.11	lfdr=1
	PUPCP	lfdr=0.53	lfdr=0.7
	DNA repair	lfdr=0.23	lfdr=0.013 (Q)
legs	PUPCP	lfdr=0.014 (Q)	lfdr=0.0005 (Q)

Ifdr: local fdr values (significance threshold = 0.05); Q/W: indicates whether bias is towards queens or workers



Figure 1. Position of our set of somatic repair genes in a ranked list of all isogroups. The horizontal line represents the list of isogroups, ranked according the their significance in bias towards queens or workers, with isogroups in the middle showing relatively unbiased expression. Each vertical bar represents the position of one of our candidate genes. The P-values were generated by the GSEA analysis and represent a test of the null hypothesis that the blue bars are randomly distributes along the black line. (a) RNA extracted from brains of 1-day-old individuals. (b) RNA extracted from the brains of 2-month-old individuals. (c) RNA extracted from legs of 1-day-old individuals. (d) RNA extracted from legs of 2-month-old individuals.

Genes annotated with the GO term PUPCP were more highly expressed in queens than workers in 1-day-old individuals in legs, but not in brains (Table 2). The level of expression of these genes decreased during the first two months of adulthood, though the difference was not significant (queen brains: lfdr =0.22; worker brains: lfdr = 0.39; queen legs: lfdr =0.45; worker legs: lfdr = 0.36). In 2-month-old individuals, there was no significant difference in the level of expression of genes annotated with the GO term PUPCP in the brains of queens compared to workers (Table 2). By contrast, the level of expression of these genes was significantly higher in queen legs than in worker legs (Table 2).

Overall, our results show a higher level of expression of somatic repair genes in queens than workers, particularly in 2-month-old individuals, in which DNA and protein repair genes were both more highly expressed in queens.

DISCUSSION

Our analysis of 20 somatic repair genes revealed that queens and workers did not differ in their pattern of expression in 1-day-old individuals. The level of expression of these genes increased with age and this up-regulation was slightly greater in queens than in workers, resulting in significantly queen-biased expression of the 20 somatic repair genes in 2-monthold individuals in both legs and brains. Similarly, analysis of 244 genes annotated with the GO term "DNA repair" revealed no effect of caste on expression in 1-day-old individuals, but a greater upregulation with age in queens than workers, resulting in significant queen-biased expression in the legs of 2month-old individuals.

Overall, the combination of these analyses indicates a lack of concerted differences in somatic repair gene expression between 1-day-old queens and workers, but a significantly higher level of expression in queens than workers in 2-month-old individuals. Two previous studies in social insects have compared the expression of somatic repair genes between queens and workers, with contrasting results. In the ant *Harpegnathos saltator*, gamergates (workers that assume the role of the queen after her removal) showed higher expression of Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase than workers [32], but in honeybees, no queen bias was found in the expression of nine DNA repair genes [33]. However, neither of these studies

controlled for age, thus confounding its effect with that of caste. Given our finding that the effect of caste on repair gene expression depends on age, it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from these studies. In other species, there is still no consensus concerning the importance of DNA repair activity in determining lifespan. Support for a role of DNA repair in determining lifespan comes from two main sources. First, genetic diseases leading to accelerated ageing in humans are typically associated with defects in the DNA repair pathway [34,35]. Second, inter-specific comparisons of DNA repair activity in mammals have found that longer-lived species tend to display higher DNA repair activity [36-40]. Evidence against the importance of DNA repair in determining lifespan comes from mutant models that disrupt repair pathways but do not show reduction in longevity and may even have extended lifespans [41,42]. Few studies have investigated whether lifespan extension is associated with increased expression of DNA repair genes. Mutant mice over-expressing telomerase or the repair gene MTH-1 show extended lifespan and signs of delayed ageing [43,44], but over-expression of a polymerase important in the repair of DNA damage, PARP-1, had the opposite effect [45]. Similarly, Drosophila mutants over-expressing DNA repair genes may either extend or reduce lifespan [46-48]. A limitation of these studies is that expression is manipulated by inserting gene sequences into the genomes of the organisms, affording little control over the resulting degree of over-expression. Such coarse manipulation of a few genes may increase expression to levels above that which is beneficial to the organism or disrupt the balance of essential pathways. Controlling expression in this way may therefore offer only limited information on the importance of a gene in the modulation of lifespan.

The effects of age on the expression of somatic repair genes have been studied in humans, mice, rats, fruit flies and honeybees through targeted studies of specific genes [33,49-53] and through genome-wide expression analyses [54-60]. Overall, these studies provide little consensus on the direction in which somatic repair genes are regulated with age, as differences vary between genes and between studies. However, these studies either investigated individual genes or performed unfocused whole-genome analyses. In contrast, our study focuses specifically on somatic repair genes while performing a single analysis of many genes combined. This provides a more powerful method of investigating the global trends in somatic repair expression changes with age.

The analysis of the 162 genes annotated with the GO term "proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process" found consistently queenbiased expression in the legs of both 1-day-old and 2month-old individuals, but no effect of caste on expression in brains at either age. These genes tended to be down-regulated with age in both castes, though non-significantly so. In other species, increased expression of genes involved in the (UPS) has been linked to extended lifespan in yeast [24], worms [26,61], flies [62], rodents [63] and human fibroblasts [64]. Furthermore, in flies, over-expression solely in the neurons was sufficient to extend lifespan [62]. Our findings that queen and worker brains do not differ in the expression of genes involved in the UPS therefore presents an interesting exception to the patterns seen in other species.

Overall, the differences in somatic repair gene expression that we have identified between queens and workers are consistent with the hypothesis that longevity is associated with investment into somatic repair. This contrasts with results from studies investigating the process of damage prevention through anti-oxidant enzymes in social insects, where expression of antioxidant genes was found to be higher in workers than queens, perhaps to compensate for workers' the increased levels of activity [11,16]. Our results suggest that damage repair may be more relevant to lifespan than removal of antioxidants. One reason for this could be the important role that antioxidants play in critical biological processes [19,20], which prevents them from being freely modulated.

METHODS

Sample collection

To set up queenless colonies, workers and brood from field colonies were collected from May to June at the UNIL and transferred to controlled climate conditions (25°C, 60% humidity, 12h/12h day/night cycle). These colonies contained pupae, a small number of late-stage larvae and around 100 - 500 workers, but no queen. New queenless colonies were established each year.

Colonies were maintained in a 12h/12h light/dark cycle in controlled climate conditions (25°C, 60% humidity) and fed with a 10% honey solution, mealworms, and an "ant diet" made of agar, eggs, honey and vitamin supplement.

1-day-old and 2-month-old individuals were used. 1day-old individuals represent a time point at which queens and workers can be considered to have the same biological age, while 2-month-old individuals represent a time point at which queens have successfully founded a colony and are thus in their "typical" state. Older individuals were not included in this analysis because the aim was to detect differences in gene expression that could lead to the accumulation of damage over the course of an individual's life, not only at old age.

Samples were collected in 2012. To obtain 1-day-old queens and workers, queenless colonies were set up as described above and newly emerged queens and workers (identified by the lighter colour of the cuticle) were collected daily and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. To obtain 2-month-old workers, worker pupae were transferred from the queenless colonies into queenright colonies and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen two months after emergence. Queenright colonies, established the previous year, were prepared by marking existing workers with paint and removing pupae and large larvae. Each of these colonies then received 40 worker pupae from a queenless colony. The queenright colonies were then checked every 3-4 days for the emergence of workers from the transferred pupae, and an average date between first and last emergence was taken as age 0 for the purposes of age estimation. One week after all the introduced pupae had emerged as workers, the original workers were removed. While retention of paint marks was not complete, original workers in the queenright colonies could be easily distinguished from those of introduced pupae by their small size. In order to make sure that no workers emerged that were not part of the transferred cohort, large larvae and new pupae were regularly removed from the queenright colonies.

To obtain 2-month-old queens, queenright colonies were established in 2012 as described above. The queens were then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen seven weeks after initial collection (approximately one week after the emergence of the first workers). We assumed the queens to be on average two weeks old on the day of the mating flight, and thus two months old when frozen (as the first queens in laboratory colonies emerged 20 days before the mating flight, it is unlikely that the queen average age estimate was wrong by more than one week).

All samples were frozen within one minute of nest disturbance in order to minimise the effect of disturbance on gene expression. Samples were stored at -80°C.

Gene expression analysis

Tissue preparation

We refer to each of the four age / caste combinations (1-day-old workers, 1-day-old queens, 2-month-old workers and 2-month-old queens) as "treatments". For all treatments except 2-month-old queens, individuals from different colonies were used for each replicate. 2-month-old queens were collected from the mating flight, making it highly unlikely that any two individuals were from the same colony, so replicates were therefore also independent.

We investigated gene expression in the brain and legs. The brain was chosen because it represents a critical tissue for organismal function and therefore one in which differing levels of somatic repair are likely to be most important. Legs were chosen as a tissue whose function is the same between queens and workers and which should therefore suffer from as little confounding variation as possible.

Legs: Six replicates were obtained for each treatment. For each worker replicate, all legs from ten workers were separated from the thorax and pooled. For each queen replicate, all legs from five queens were separated from the thorax and pooled. Leg removals were performed on dry ice.

Brains: Five replicates were obtained for each treatment. For each worker replicate, the brains of six workers were dissected and pooled. For each queen replicate, the brains of four queens were dissected and pooled. Dissections were performed in PBS chilled on ice and dissected brains were immediately transferred into TRIZOL and stored at -80°C.

RNA extraction

Legs were placed in 1ml Trizol with ceramic beads and homogenised using a shaker (MagNA Lyser, Roche) at 6,000rpm and 4°C. 200µl chloroform was added and the sample mixed by hand and allowed to stand at room temperature for 5 mins. Samples were centrifuged for 15 mins at 13,200rpm and 4°C. The supernatant aqueous phase was removed and added to 500µl isopropanol, mixed by hand and allowed to precipitate at -20°C for 1-2 hrs. Samples were centrifuged for 15 mins at 13,200rpm and 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, the pellets were washed twice with 70% ethanol and re-suspended in 10µl RNAase-free water. DNA was digested in a final concentration of 0.4u/µl DNAase, 1.3u/µl RNAsin, 2mM DTT, 50mM KCl, 5mM MgCl_{2 and} 20mM TRIS (1M), in a final volume of 50µl, incubated for 15mins at 37°C. RNA was then re-extracted by adding 50ul 0.2% SDS and 100µl chloropane, vortexing and centrifuging for 5 mins at room temperature. The aqueous phase was removed and precipitated overnight in 78mM sodium acetate and 70% ethanol at -20°C. After precipitation, samples were centrifuged for 30 mins at 13,200rpm and 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, the pellets were washed twice with 70% ethanol and re-suspended in RNAase-free water. Extractions were stored at -80°C.

RNA was extracted from frozen brains using the same method, except that all volumes were halved before the first RNA precipitation (500µl Trizol, 100µl chloroform, 250µl isopropanol).

Library preparation and sequencing

Strand-specific libraries were prepared from the at the Lausanne extracted RNA Genomic Technologies Facility, Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, Switzerland using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA reagent kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Samples were barcoded at the library preparation stage for multiplexing. Sequencing was performed by Illumina HiSeq 2000/2500 in 100 nucleotide paired-end mode. Fastq files were produced from the raw data using v1.82 of the Illumina Analysis software. The raw reads obtained from the sequencing have been deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive (accession number: SRP069113).

Legs: The 24 libraries were divided into two groups of 12 (three from each of the four age / caste combinations). The libraries within each group were sequenced together on two lanes of the Illumina platform.

Brains: The 20 libraries were sequenced together on four lanes of the Illumina platform. Three of these lanes were revealed to be under-loaded and the libraries were therefore sequenced on a further three lanes. Data from all seven lanes were combined in the analysis.

Transcriptome Assembly

We also assembled a draft sequence of the L. niger transcriptome from the Illumina RNA sequencing described above. Data from one replicate of each 1day-old and 2-month-old tissue / caste combination were pooled. Reads were trimmed for low quality and adapter contamination using Trimmomatic (v0.30; [65]) and filtered for reads that failed Illumina's quality checks (labelled 'Y' in the read name). The remaining reads were assembled using the software Trinity (release r-2013-02-25; [66]) with a minimum k-mer value of 2 and default values for other variables. Trinity automatically groups assembled sequences into components and sub-components. The contigs within a given sub-component are putative alternative transcript isoforms, and a sub-component is representative of a gene. We will refer to the subcomponents as "genes", but we note that they include non-protein coding sequences.

Identifying somatic repair genes

We refer to the processing of damaged DNA and protein, whether through direct repair or through degradation of damaged molecules, as "somatic repair". Genes with documented roles in a range of somatic repair pathways were identified from the literature (see Supplementary Table S1 for details). Homologs of these genes were then searched for in our transcriptome using the principle of reciprocal top BLAST hits: a given contig in the transcriptome was accepted as a homolog for the focal gene if it was the top translated BLAST hit (tblastn; [67]) of the human copy of this protein against the transcriptome, and the human protein was the top BLAST hit (blastx) of the contig against the human complete Swissprot proteome. All members of that contig's isogroup (i.e.: all of its putative isoforms) were labelled as the same gene.

GO term annotation

We assigned Gene Ontology (GO; [68]) terms to all contigs in our transcriptome that had Open Reading Frames (ORF) and showed sufficient homology to known protein sequences based on their top blast hit. ORFs were predicted with Augustus (v2.5.5; [69]) using the honeybee as a model for gene structure. For each gene in the transcriptome, we kept the longest ORF from among the alternative transcripts (isogroup). These were then BLASTed against the UniProt data set with an e-value cut-off of 10E-4. The top BLAST hit for each genes was used to assign GO terms to the corresponding *L. niger* gene using the Blast2Go pipeline (v2.5.0; [70]).

Differential gene expression

Raw reads were aligned to the transcriptome using Bowtie2 (v2.1.0; [71]) with default parameter values. Counts of aligned reads were analysed using the R package *edgeR* [72] as follows. Counts of alignments to all transcripts within an isogroup were combined to provide a single count per gene. Normalisation was carried out using Trimmed Mean of M-values [73] and dispersion was calculated by combining trended and tag-wise estimates. *P*-values were obtained using generalised linear modelling implemented in *edgeR*, where caste and age were defined as categorical fixed effects.

Gene Set Enrichments Analysis of somatic repair genes

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA; [74]) was performed to determine whether our set of identified somatic repair genes globally showed biased expression towards either caste within an age group. To create the ranked list of genes necessary for this approach, all N genes in the transcriptome were ranked according to their statistical support for expression bias using a signed *P*-value calculated as c(1-P), where c is the sign of the coefficient representing the effect of caste in the model (c = -1for queen-biased genes and 1 for worker-biased genes). This placed queen-biased contigs with low Pvalues at one end of the rankings, worker-biased contigs with low *P*-values at the other end and contigs with *P*-values close to 1 in the middle. A running sum was then calculated by taking genes in rank order and increasing the value of the sum by 1 if the gene was in our gene set of interest and decreasing it by n/(N-n)otherwise (where *n* is the number of genes in our gene set). The value of the running sum after all genes have

been scored is therefore 0, and the Enrichment Score (ES) is calculated as the maximum of the absolute value of the running sum. A null distribution for the ES was obtained by 5000 iterations of re-sampling: n genes were randomly sampled from the transcriptome to form a new gene set and the ES was recalculated.

The same method was used to determine whether global expression of the somatic repair genes differed with age, with the genes being ranked according to statistical support for age-bias instead of caste-bias. The same method was also used to determine whether expression of the somatic repair genes showed an interaction between age and caste, with the genes being ranked according to statistical support for the interaction.

GO term enrichment analysis

To investigate whether the GO terms for "DNA repair" or "proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process" showed biased expression with respect to age or caste, local false discovery rate (lfdr) was calculated for all GO terms using genes ranked as described above for the GSEA. The lfdr is in effect the posterior probability, given the observed P-value, that the GO term is not biased [75]. We considered a GO term to be enriched for differentially expressed genes if $1 \text{fdr} \leq 0.05$. Analyses were performed in R, using the packages topGO [76] to obtain initial P-values, and fdrtool [77] to calculate lfdr. The topGO package was slightly modified to allow the use of a two-tailed Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, which is better suited to the signed P-value statistic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For advice on ant collection and rearing, we thank Romain Libbrecht. For helpful advice on bioinformatics and statistical analysis, we thank Oksana Riba-Grognuz and Charlotte Sonseson. We also thank Joel Parker, Vincent Dion, Miguel Corona and David Gems for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a Seventh Framework Programme Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship (297898) to Eric Lucas, as well as by a Swiss NSF grant (310030_156732) and an advanced ERC grant (249375) to Laurent Keller.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ERL and LK conceived and designed the experiments and contributed to writing the manuscript; ERL and EP built the de-novo transcriptome assembly; ERL performed the experiments and analysed the data.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gems D and de la Guardia Y. Alternative perspectives on aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: reactive oxygen species or hyperfunction? Antioxid Redox Signal. 2013; 19:321-329. doi.org/10.1089/ars.2012.4840
- Gems D and Partridge L. Genetics of longevity in model organisms: debates and paradigm shifts. Annual review of physiology. 2013; 75:621-644. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183712
- Hamilton W. Moulding of senescence by natural selection. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 1966; 12:12-45. doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(66)90184-6
- 4. Kirkwood TBL and Austad SN. Why do we age? Nature. 2000; 408:233-238. doi.org/10.1038/35041682
- 5. Kirkwood TBL and Holliday R. Evolution of Ageing and Longevity. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1979; 205:531-546.
- Liochev SI. Reactive oxygen species and the free radical theory of aging. Free Radical Biology & Medicine. 2013; 60:1-4. doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.02.011
- Williams GC. Pleiotropy, Natural Selection, and the Evolution of Senescence. Evolution. 1957; 11:398-411. doi.org/10.2307/2406060
- Zimniak P. What is the proximal cause of aging? Frontiers in Genetics. 2012; 3:Article 189. doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2012.00189
- Bengston VL, Gans D, Putney NM and Silverstein M. Handbook of Theories of Aging. 2009. New York: Springer.
- 10. Finkel T and Holbrook NJ. Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. Nature. 2000; 408:239-247. doi.org/10.1038/35041687
- 11. Corona M, Hughes KA, Weaver DB and Robinson GE. Gene expression patterns associated with queen honey bee longevity. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 2005; 126:1230-1238. doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2005.07.004
- 12. Doonan R, McElwee JJ, Matthijssens F, Walker GA, Houthoofd K, Back P, Matscheski A, Vanfleteren JR and Gems D. Against the oxidative damage theory of aging: superoxide dismutases protect against oxidative stress but have little or no effect on life span in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genes & development. 2008; 22:3236-3241. doi.org/10.1101/gad.504808
- Gems D and Doonan R. Antioxidant defense and aging in C. elegans. Cell Cycle. 2009; 8:1681-1687. doi.org/10.4161/cc.8.11.8595
- Lucas ER and Keller L. Ageing and somatic maintenance in social insects. Current Opinion in Insect Science. 2014; 5:31-36. doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2014.09.009

- 15. Pérez VI, Bokov A, Van Remmen H, Mele J, Ran Q, Ikeno Y and Richardson A. Is the oxidative stress theory of aging dead? Biochim Biophys Acta. 2009; 1790:1005-1014. doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.06.003
- 16. Parker JD, Parker KM, Sohal BH, Sohal RS and Keller L. Decreased expression of Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase 1 in ants with extreme lifespan. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004; 101:3486-3489. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400222101
- 17. Schneider SA, Schrader C, Wagner AE, Boesch-Saadatmandi C, Liebig J, Rimbach G and Roeder T. Stress Resistance and Longevity Are Not Directly Linked to Levels of Enzymatic Antioxidants in the Ponerine Ant Harpegnathos saltator. PLOS One. 2011; 6:e14601. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014601
- Wolschin F and Amdam GV. Comparative proteomics reveal characteristics of life-history transitions in a social insect. Proteome Science. 2007; 5:10. doi.org/10.1186/1477-5956-5-10
- Gapper C and Dolan L. Control of plant development by reactive oxygen species. Plant Physiology. 2006; 141:341-345. doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.079079
- 20. Hamanaka RB and Chandel NS. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate cellular signaling and dictate biological outcomes. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2010; 35:505-513. doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2010.04.002
- 21. Lombard DB, Chua KF, Mostoslavsky R, Franco S, Gostissa M and Alt FW. DNA repair, genome stability, and aging. Cell. 2005; 120:497-512. doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.01.028
- 22. Taylor RC and Dillin A. Aging as an event of proteostasis collapse. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2011; 3:a004440. doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a004440
- Chondrogianni N, Sakellari M, Lefaki M, Papaevgeniou N and Gonos ES. Proteasome activation delays aging in vitro and in vivo. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2014; 71:303-320. doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2014.03.031
- 24. Kruegel U, Robison B, Dange T, Kahlert G, Delaney JR, Kotireddy S, Tsuchiya M, Tsuchiyama S, Murakami CJ, Schleit J, Sutphin G, Carr D, Tar K, et al. Elevated proteasome capacity extends replicative lifespan in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. PLoS Genetics. 2011; 7:e1002253-e1002253. doi: org/10.1271/journal.goog.1002252

doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002253

25. Tonoki A, Kuranaga E, Tomioka T, Hamazaki J, Murata S, Tanaka K and Miura M. Genetic Evidence Linking Age-Dependent Attenuation of the 26S Proteasome with the Aging Process. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2009; 29:1095-1106. doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01227-08

- 26. Vilchez D, Morantte I, Liu Z, Douglas PM, Merkwirth C, Rodrigues APC, Manning G and Dillin A. RPN-6 determines *C. elegans* longevity under proteotoxic stress conditions. Nature. 2012; 489:263-268. doi.org/10.1038/nature11315
- 27. Kramer BH and Schaible R. Colony size explains the lifespan differences between queens and workers in eusocial Hymenoptera. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. 2013; 109:710-724. doi.org/10.1111/bij.12072
- Schwander T, Lo N, Beekman M, Oldroyd BP and Keller L. Nature versus nurture in social insect caste differentiation. Trends In Ecology & Evolution. 2010; 25:275-282. doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.12.001
- 29. Wilson EO. The Insect Societies. 1971. Cambridge, MA: Bellknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- 30. Hölldobler B and Wilson EO. The Ants. 1990. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- 31.Dussutour A and Simpson SJ. Ant workers die young and colonies collapse when fed a high-protein diet. Proc. Biol. Sci. 2012; 279:2402-2408.
- 32. Bonasio R, Zhang G, Ye C, Mutti NS, Fang X, Qin N, Donahue G, Yang P, Li Q, Li C, Zhang P, Huang Z, Berger SL, et al. Genomic comparison of the ants *Camponotus floridanus* and *Harpegnathos saltator*. Science. 2010; 329:1068-1071. doi.org/10.1126/science.1192428
- 33. Aamodt RM. Age-and caste-dependent decrease in expression of genes maintaining DNA and RNA quality and mitochondrial integrity in the honeybee wing muscle. Experimental Gerontology. 2009; 44:586-593. doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2009.06.004
- 34. Freitas AA and de Magalhaes JP. A review and appraisal of the DNA damage theory of ageing. Mutation Research-Reviews in Mutation Research. 2011; 728:12-22. doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2011.05.001
- 35. Moskalev AA, Shaposhnikov MV, Plyusnina EN, Zhavoronkov A, Budovsky A, Yanai H and Fraifeld VE. The role of DNA damage and repair in aging through the prism of Koch-like criteria. Ageing research reviews. 2013; 12:661-684. doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2012.02.001
- 36. Cortopassi GA and Wang E. There is substantial agreement among interspecies estimates of DNA repair activity. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 1996; 91:211-218. doi.org/10.1016/S0047-6374(96)01788-5
- 37. Grube K and Bürkle A. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase activity in mononuclear leukocytes of 13 mammalian species correlates with species-specific life span. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992; 89:11759-11763.
- Hart RW and Setlow RB. Correlation between deoxyribonucleic acid excision-repair and life-span in a number of mammalian species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1974; 71:2169-2173.

- 39. Lorenzini A, Johnson FB, Oliver A, Tresini M, Smith JS, Hdeib M, Sell C, Cristofalo VJ and Stamato TD. Significant correlation of species longevity with DNA double strand break recognition but not with telomere length. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2009; 130:784-792. doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2009.10.004
- 40. Treton JA and Courtois Y. Correlation between DNA excision repair and mammalian lifespan in lens epithelial cells. Cell biology international reports. 1982; 6:253-260. doi.org/10.1016/0309-1651(82)90077-7
- Lans H, Lindvall JM, Thijssen K, Karambelas AE, Cupac D, Fensgård Ø, Jansen G, Hoeijmakers JHJ, Nilsen H and Vermeulen W. DNA damage leads to progressive replicative decline but extends the life span of longlived mutant animals. Cell Death & Differentiation. 2013; 20:1709-1718. doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2013.126
- 42. Vermulst M, Bielas JH, Kujoth GC, Ladiges WC, Rabinovitch PS, Prolla TA and Loeb LA. Mitochondrial point mutations do not limit the natural lifespan of mice. Nature genetics. 2007; 39:540-543. doi.org/10.1038/ng1988
- 43. De Luca G, Ventura I, Sanghez V, Russo MT, Ajmone-Cat MA, Cacci E, Martire A, Popoli P, Falcone G, Michelini F, Crescenzi M, Degan P, Minghetti L, et al. Prolonged lifespan with enhanced exploratory behavior in mice overexpressing the oxidized nucleoside triphosphatase hMTH1. Aging cell. 2013; 12:695-705. doi.org/10.1111/acel.12094
- 44. Tomás-Loba A, Flores I, Fernández-Marcos PJ, Cayuela ML, Maraver A, Tejera A, Borrás C, Matheu A, Klatt P, Flores JM, na J. V, Serrano M and Blasco MA. Telomerase reverse transcriptase delays aging in cancer-resistant mice. Cell. 2008; 135:609-622. doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.034
- 45. Mangerich A, Herbach N, Hanf B, Fischbach A, Popp O, Moreno-Villanueva M, Bruns OT and Bürkle A. Inflammatory and age-related pathologies in mice with ectopic expression of human PARP-1. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 2010; 131:389-404. doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2010.05.005
- 46. Shaposhnikov M, Proshkina E, Shilova L, Zhavoronkov A and Moskalev A. Lifespan and Stress Resistance in *Drosophila* with Overexpressed DNA Repair Genes. Scientific reports. 2015; 5:15299. doi.org/10.1038/srep15299
- 47. Shaposhnikov MV, Moskalev AA and Plyusnina EN. Effect of PARP-1 overexpression and pharmacological inhibition of NF-κB on the lifespan of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Advances in Gerontology. 2011; 24:405-419.
- 48. Symphorien S and Woodruff RC. Effect of DNA repair on aging of transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster*: I. mei-41

locus. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2003;58:B782-B787. doi.org/10.1093/gerona/58.9.B782

- 49. Cabelof DC, Raffoul JJ, Yanamadala S, Ganir C, Guo Z and Heydari AR. Attenuation of DNA polymerase \$dependent base excision repair and increased DMSinduced mutagenicity in aged mice. Mutation Research-Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 2002; 500:135-145. doi.org/10.1016/S0027-5107(02)00003-9
- 50. Goukassian D, Gad F, Yaar M, Eller MS, Nehal US and Gilchrest BA. Mechanisms and implications of the age associated decrease in DNA repair capacity. The FASEB journal. 2000; 14:1325-1334. doi.org/10.1096/fj.14.10.1325
- 51. Intano GW, Cho EJ, McMahan CA and Walter CA. Agerelated base excision repair activity in mouse brain and liver nuclear extracts. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2003; 58:205-211.
- 52. Um JH, Kim SJ, Kim DW, Ha MY, Jang JH, Kim DW, Chung BS, Kang CD and Kim SH. Tissue-specific changes of DNA repair protein Ku and mtHSP70 in aging rats and their retardation by caloric restriction. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2003; 124:967-975. doi.org/10.1016/S0047-6374(03)00169-6
- 53. Wang AL, Lukas TJ, Yuan M and Neufeld AH. Age-related increase in mitochondrial DNA damage and loss of DNA repair capacity in the neural retina. Neurobiology of aging. 2010; 31:2002-2010. doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.10.019
- 54. Girardot F, Lasbleiz C, Monnier V and Tricoire H. Specific age related signatures in *Drosophila* body parts transcriptome. BMC genomics. 2006; 7:69. doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-7-69
- 55. Kayo T, Allison DB, Weindruch R and Prolla TA. Influences of aging and caloric restriction on the transcriptional profile of skeletal muscle from rhesus monkeys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98:5093-5098. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.081061898
- 56. Landis GN, Abdueva D, Skvortsov D, Yang JD, Rabin BE, Carrick J, Tavare S and Tower J. Similar gene expression patterns characterize aging and oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2004; 101:7663-7668. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0307605101
- 57. Lee C, Weindruch R and Prolla TA. Gene-expression profile of the ageing brain in mice. Nature genetics. 2000; 25:294-297. doi.org/10.1038/77046
- 58. Lu T, Pan Y, Kao S, Li C, Kohane I, Chan J and Yankner BA. Gene regulation and DNA damage in the ageing human brain. Nature. 2004; 429:883-891. doi.org/10.1038/nature02661

- 59. Swindell WR, Johnston A, Sun L, Xing X, Fisher GJ, Bulyk ML, Elder JT and Gudjonsson JE. Meta-profiles of gene expression during aging: limited similarities between mouse and human and an unexpectedly decreased inflammatory signature. PloS one. 2012; 7:e33204-e33204. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033204
- 60. Zahn JM, Poosala S, Owen AB, Ingram DK, Lustig A, Carter A, Weeraratna AT, Taub DD, Gorospe M, Mazan-Mamczarz K, Lakatta EG, Boheler KR, Xu X, et al. AGEMAP: a gene expression database for aging in mice. PLoS Genetics. 2007; 3:e201. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030201
- 61. Chondrogianni N, Georgila K, Kourtis N, Tavernarakis N and Gonos ES. 20S proteasome activation promotes life span extension and resistance to proteotoxicity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. The FASEB Journal. 2015; 29:611-622. doi.org/10.1096/fj.14-252189
- 62. Rana A, Rera M and Walker DW. Parkin overexpression during aging reduces proteotoxicity, alters mitochondrial dynamics, and extends lifespan. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:8638-8643. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.081061898
- 63. Rodriguez KA, Edrey YH, Osmulski P, Gaczynska M and Buffenstein R. Altered composition of liver proteasome assemblies contributes to enhanced proteasome activity in the exceptionally long-lived naked mole-rat. PloS one. 2012; 7:e35890. doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035890
- 64. Chondrogianni N, Tzavelas C, Pemberton AJ, Nezis IP, Rivett AJ and Gonos ES. Overexpression of proteasome \$5 assembled subunit increases the amount of proteasome and confers ameliorated response to oxidative stress and higher survival rates. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2005; 280:11840-11850. doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M413007200
- 65. Bolger AM, Lohse M and Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114-2120. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- 66. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nature biotechnology. 2011; 29:644-652. doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883
- 67. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang JH, Zhang Z, Miller W and Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST:

a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Research. 1997; 25:3389-3402. doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389

- 68. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nature genetics. 2000; 25:25-29. doi.org/10.1038/75556
- 69. Stanke M and Waack S. Gene prediction with a hidden Markov model and a new intron submodel. Bioinformatics. 2003; 19:ii215-ii225. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg1080
- 70. Conesa A, Götz S, Garcia-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M and Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21:3674-3676. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610
- 71. Langmead B and Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods. 2012; 9:357-359. doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
- 72. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ and Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:139-140. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- 73. Robinson MD and Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biology. 2010; 11:R25. doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-3-r25
- 74. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES and Mesirov JP. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:15545-15550. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102
- 75. Efron B, Tibshirani R, Storey JD and Tusher V. Empirical Bayes analysis of a microarray experiment. Journal of the American statistical association. 2001; 96:1151-1160. doi.org/10.1198/016214501753382129
- 76. Alexa A and Rahnenfuhrer J. topGO: Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology. 2010. R package version 2.14.0.
- 77. Klaus B and Strimmer K. fdrtool: Estimation of (local) false discovery rates and higher Criticism. 2015. R package version 1.2.15.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Gene name	Somatic repair pathway	Uniprot reference number of human gene	Reference	Direction of trend in 1-day- old legs (caps indicate significant bias)	Direction of trend in 2- month-old legs (caps indicate significant bias)	Direction of trend in 1-day- old brains (caps indicate significant bias)	Direction of trend in 2- month-old brains (caps indicate significant bias)
AAG	BER	sp P29372	Larsen et al 2005	WORKER (P=0)	queen (P=0.06)	worker (P=0.2)	worker (P=0.65)
AlkB8	DR	sp Q96BT7	Fu et al 2010	queen (P=0.58)	queen (P=0.16)	worker (P=0.76)	queen (P=0.4)
APE1	BER	sp P27695	Larsen et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0.01)	QUEEN (P=0)	worker (P=0.48)	queen (P=0.85)
EXO1	MMR	sp Q9UQ84	Larsen et al 2005	queen (P=0.11)	queen (P=0.17)	queen (P=0.2)	queen (P=0.15)
Ku70	NHEJ	sp P12956	Lombard et al 2005	queen (P=0.87)	worker (P=0.73)	queen (P=0.66)	worker (P=0.83)
Ku80	NHEJ	sp P13010	Lombard et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0.02)	QUEEN (P=0.01)	queen (P=0.4)	queen (P=0.37)
lig1	BER	sp P18858	Sancar et al 2004, Waterworth et al 2009	queen (P=0.66)	queen (P=0.27)	worker (P=0.13)	queen (P=0.14)
MGM T	DR	sp P16455	Larsen et al 2005	worker (P=0.6)	queen (P=0.69)	queen (P=0.99)	queen (P=0.12)
MLH1	MMR	sp P40692	Larsen et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0.01)	QUEEN (P=0.01)	queen (P=0.65)	queen (P=0.58)
MSH2	MMR	sp P43246	Larsen et al 2005	worker (P=0.47)	queen (P=0.65)	worker (P=0.15)	queen (P=0.85)
MSH6	MMR	sp P52701	Larsen et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0)	queen (P=0.07)	queen (P=0.22)	queen (P=0.86)
NTH1	BER	sp P78549	Larsen et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0)	QUEEN (P=0)	queen (P=0.26)	queen (P=0.63)
OGG1	BER	sp O15527	Larsen et al 2005	worker (P=0.85)	QUEEN (P=0.03)	queen (P=0.72)	queen (P=0.79)
PMS2	MMR	sp P54278	Larsen et al 2005	QUEEN (P=0.01)	QUEEN (P=0.04)	worker (P=0.52)	queen (P=0.58)
Rpn11	PU	sp 000487	Tonoki et al 2009	queen (P=0.52)	queen (P=0.29)	worker (P=0.64)	worker (P=0.64)
Rpn6	PU	sp 000231	Vilchez et al 2012	queen (P=0.05)	QUEEN (P=0)	queen (P=0.73)	worker (P=0.13)
TDG	BER	sp Q13569	Larsen et al 2005	queen (P=0.24)	worker (P=0.97)	QUEEN (P=0.01)	queen (P=0.63)
XPA	NER	sp P23025	Lombard et al 2005	queen (P=0.17)	queen (P=0.1)	queen (P=0.93)	queen (P=0.39)
XPC	NER	sp Q01831	Lombard et al 2005	worker (P=0.42)	queen (P=0.77)	worker (P=0.89)	QUEEN (P=0.04)
XPF	NER	sp Q92889	Lombard et al 2005	worker (P=0.79)	worker (P=0.18)	worker (P=0.37)	queen (P=0.55)

Table S1. List of somatic repair genes included in the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

Abbreviations:

BER	Base Excision Repair
DR	Direct Reversal
MMR	Mis-Match Repair

- NER Nuceotide Excision Repair
- PU Protein Polyubiquitination
- NHEJ Non-Homologous End Joining

REFERENCES

- 1. Larsen NB; Rasmussen M, Rasmussen LJ. Nuclear and mitochondrial DNA repair: similar pathways? Mitochondrion. 2005; 5:89-108.
- 2. Lombard DB, Chua KF, Mostoslavsky R, Franco S, Gostissa M, Alt FW. DNA repair, genome stability, and aging. Cell. 2005; 120:497-512.
- Fu D, Brophy JAN, Chan CTY, Atmore KA, Begley U, Paules RS, Dedon PC, Begley TJ, Samson LD. Human AlkB homolog ABH8 is a tRNA methyltransferase required for wobble uridine modification and DNA

damage survival. Molecular and cellular biology. 2010; 30:2449-2459.

- Sancar A, Lindsey-Boltz LA, Ünsal-Kaçmaz K, Linn S. Molecular mechanisms of mammalian DNA repair and the DNA damage checkpoints. Annual review of biochemistry. 2004; 73:39-85.
- Tonoki A, Kuranaga E, Tomioka T, Hamazaki J, Murata S, Tanaka K, Miura M. Genetic Evidence Linking Age-Dependent Attenuation of the 26S Proteasome with the Aging Process. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2009; 29:1095-1106.

- 6. Vilchez D, Morantte I, Liu Z, Douglas PM, Merkwirth C, Rodrigues APC, Manning G, Dillin A. RPN-6 determines C. elegans longevity under proteotoxic stress conditions. Nature. 2012; 489:263-270.
- Waterworth WM, Kozak J, Provost CM, Bray CM, Angelis KJ, West CE. DNA ligase 1 deficient plants display severe growth defects and delayed repair of both DNA single and double strand breaks. BMC plant biology. 2009; 9:79.