SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

	Total	Green	Yellow	Red
	n=3108	n=2667 (85.8%)	n=374 (12.0%)	n=67 (2.2%)
Average age at baseline, mean (SD)	74.18 (10.96)	74.75 (11.14)	70.62 (9.56)	71.34 (5.41)
Females, n (%)	1995 (64.19)	1704 (63.89)	254 (67.91)	37 (55.22)
Socioeconomic factors				
Education, n (%)				
Elementary	526 (16.92)	441 (16.54)	73 (19.52)	12 (17.91)
High school	1529 (49.20)	1298 (48.67)	196 (52.41)	35 (52.24)
University	1041 (33.49)	920 (34.5)	101 (27.01)	20 (29.85)
Occupation, n (%)				
Manual worker	724 (23.29)	612 (22.95)	96 (25.67)	16 (23.88)
Non-manual worker	2347 (75.51)	2035 (76.30)	261 (69.79)	51 (76.12)
Financial strain, n (%)				
Yes	163 (5.24)	115 (4.31)	45 (12.03)	3 (4.48)
No	2859 (91.99)	2500 (93.74)	296 (79.14)	63 (94.03)
Psychosocial factors				
Social connections, n (%)				
Low	946 (30.44)	804 (30.15)	119 (31.82)	23 (34.33)
Medium	946 (30.44)	828 (31.05)	97 (25.94)	21 (31.34)
High	946 (30.44)	851 (31.91)	76 (20.32)	19 (28.36)
Social support, n (%)				
Low	948 (30.50)	810 (30.37)	124 (33.16)	14 (20.90)
Medium	968 (31.15)	847 (31.76)	94 (25.13)	27 (40.30)
High	925 (29.76)	828 (31.05)	75 (20.05)	22 (32.84)
Social participation, n (%)				
Low	1623 (52.22)	1405 (52.68)	183 (48.93)	35 (52.24)
Medium	626 (20.14)	561 (21.03)	50 (13.37)	15 (22.39)
High	356 (11.45)	322 (12.07)	25 (6.68)	9 (13.43)
Behavioral factors				
Smoking, n (%)				
Current	435 (14.00)	341 (12.79)	82 (21.93)	12 (17.91)
Former	1179 (37.93)	1018 (38.17)	131 (35.03)	30 (44.78)
Never	1443 (46.43)	1279 (47.96)	140 (37.43)	24 (35.82)
BMI, n (%)				
Obese	368 (11.84)	274 (10.27)	83 (22.19)	11 (16.42)
Overweight	1155 (37.16)	1012 (37.95)	114 (30.48)	29 (43.28)
Underweight	81 (2.61)	68 (2.55)	12 (3.21)	1 (1.49)
Normal weight	1288 (41.44)	1151 (43.16)	113 (30.21)	24 (35.82)
Physical activity, n (%)				
Inadequate	1001 (32.21)	760 (28.50)	223 (59.63)	18 (26.87)
Health-enhancing	1471 (47.33)	1317 (49.38)	117 (31.28)	37 (55.22)
Fitness-enhancing	636 (20.46)	590 (22.12)	34 (9.09)	12 (17.91)

Supplementary Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the total population and by the three HAT-score trajectories obtained in the growth mixture model.

SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index.

All proportions are significantly different among the three trajectories.

Divergences between total numbers and the final sample size (n=3108) is due to missing data. Missing data for education: 12; occupation: 37; financial strain: 86; smoking: 51; BMI: 216; social connections: 270; social support: 267; social participation: 503.

The colors indicated in each column correspond to those used to depict the trajectories in Figure 1A–1C.

	Green	Yellow	Red
General population			
Prevalence, n (%) [#]	2667 (85.8%)	374(12.0%)	67 (2.2.%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	· · · · ·	· · · · ·	
Intercept (age=60)	9.00 (0.03)**	7.58 (0.18)**	8.80 (0.26)**
Linear rate of change	-0.01 (0.01)*	-0.11 (0.03)**	0.16 (0.05)**
Quadratic rate of change	-0.01 (0.00)**	-0.002 (0.001)*	-0.03 (0.00)**
Random effects, mean (SE)			
Intercept variance	0.02 (0.05)	1.66 (0.26)**	0.00 (0.13)
Slope variance	0.002 (0.000)**	0.006 (0.001)**	0.03 (0.01)*
60-70 years		~ /	
Prevalence, n $(\%)^{\#}$	1135 (90.7%)		116 (9.3%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	· · · ·		
Intercept (age=60)	9.03 (0.02)**		6.80 (0.18)**
Linear rate of change	-0.12 (0.008)**		$0.14(0.07)^{*}$
Quadratic rate of change	0.002 (0.001)**		-0.02 (0.005)**
Random effects, mean (SE)			
Intercept variance	0.15 (0.05)**		2.01 (0.40)**
Slope variance	0.001 (0.001)		0.03 (0.01)**
70-80 years	× ,		~ /
Prevalence, n $(\%)^{\#}$	657 (74.5%)	107 (12.1%)	118 (13.4%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	· · · ·	~ /	· · · · ·
Intercept (age=70)	8.92 (0.05)**	5.79 (0.16)**	8.63 (0.27)**
Linear rate of change	-0.16 (0.02)**	0.42 (0.08)**	-0.84 (0.07)**
Ouadratic rate of change	-0.003 (0.001)*	-0.04 (0.006)**	0.02 (0.004)**
Random effects, mean (SE)	× ,		× ,
Intercept variance	$0.33(0.14)^{*}$	0.14 (0.15)	4.25 (0.76)
Slope variance	0.01 (0.002)**	0.009 (0.002)**	0.009 (0.002)**
80+ years		~ /	× ,
Prevalence, n (%) [#]	549 (56.3%)		426 (43.7%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	· · · ·		
Intercept (age=80)	7.41 (0.15)**		6.36 (0.27)**
Linear rate of change	-0.003 (0.04)		-0.67 (0.04)**
Quadratic rate of change	-0.02 (0.002)**		0.02 (0.002)**
Random effects, mean (SE)			~ /
Intercept variance	0.97 (0.26)**		2.87 (0.36)**
Slope variance	0.025 (0.004)**		$0.005(0.003)^*$
Females			~ /
Prevalence, $n(\%)^{\#}$	1697 (85.1%)	271 (13.6%)	27 (1.4%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	· · · ·	~ /	~ /
Intercept (age=60)	8.99 (0.04)**	7.70 (0.21)**	8.75 (0.53)**
Linear rate of change	-0.02 (0.01)*	-0.12 (0.04)**	0.14 (0.11)
Quadratic rate of change	-0.005 (0.000)**	-0.002 (0.001)*	-0.02 (0.01)**
Random effects, mean (SE)		× /	× /
Intercept variance	0.03 (0.07)	1.62 (0.35)**	0.19 (0.35)
Slope variance	0.003 (0.001)**	0.005 (0.002)**	0.02 (0.01)
Males	× /	` '	· /
Prevalence, $n(\%)^{\#}$	948 (85.2%)	117 (10.5%)	48 (4.3%)
Fixed effects, mean (SE)	. /	. ,	. /

Supplementary Table 2. Parameter estimates for HAT-score trajectories by latent class for the general population and by groups of age and sex.

Intercept (age=60)	9.04 (0.04)**	7.78 (0.37)**	7.84 (0.51)**
Linear rate of change	-0.03 (0.01)*	-0.19 (0.05)**	0.34 (0.09)**
Quadratic rate of change	-0.004 (0.000)**	0.001 (0.002)	-0.03 (0.004)**
Random effects, mean (SE)			
Intercept variance	0.10 (0.07)	2.21 (0.66)**	$1.28 (0.74)^{*}$
Slope variance	0.002 (0.001)**	0.006 (0.002)**	$0.07~{(0.03)}^{*}$

[#]Reported class counts and proportions are based on individuals' most likely class membership.

Significance level for the fixed and random effects: *p<0.05; **p<0.01.

Interpretation of parameter estimates taking the general population as an example: the mean coefficients for the green trajectory are 9.00, -0.01, and -0.01 for the intercept at age 60, linear rate of change, and quadratic rate of change, respectively, which means that the trajectory starts at a HAT score of 9.00 at age 60, with an annual linear decline of 0.01 and a quadratic annual decline of 0.01 in the HAT score with increasing age (e.g. at age 62, the quadratic decline will be of $0.01^*2^*2=0.04$). The quadratic coefficient for the red trajectory is three times higher (and still statistically significant) compared to the green trajectory (i.e. -0.03), which reflects a much faster decline for the red trajectory, as shown in Figure 1.A.2. Variances of the random effects represent the extent to which individuals are deviant from the mean of the respective trajectory, which is represented through the individual observed HAT-score trajectories (i.e. dotted lines) in Figure 1.

The colors indicated in each column correspond to those used to depict the trajectories in Figure 1A-1C.

# classes	# parameters	BIC	Entropy	LMR p-value	Smallest class size $(\%)^*$
General pop	oulation				
Step1					
1	14	28860.591			
2	18	27331.979	0.803	0.000	16.6
3	22	26856.519	0.675	0.090	5.8
4	26	26569.106	0.630	0.000	1.1
Step2					
1	17	27234.634			
2	23	26454.085	0.545	0.000	14.6
3 ^a	29	26369.050	0.665	0.000	2.2
60-70 years					
Step1					
1	7	10059.603			
2	11	9313.044	0.944	0.000	8.5
3	15	9169.783	0.880	0.284	6.5
4	19	8900.17	0.908	0.001	1.4
Step2					
1	10	9618.147			
2	16	8899.77	0.833	0.000	9.3
3	22	8750.12	0.824	0.063	7.8
70-80 years					
Step1					
1	9	10387.4			
2	13	9680.678	0.81	0.000	19.0

Supplementary Table 3. Overview of model fit criteria during class enumeration for the general population and by groups of age and sex.

3	17	9446.913	0.638	0.001	14.5
4	21	9322.693	0.708	0.001	1.9
Step2					
2	17	9262.994	0.775		14.5
3 ^a	22	9147.952	0.746	0.000	12.1
80+ years					
Step1					
1	10	8424.815			
2	14	7890.327	0.653	0.000	41.3
3	18	7764.03	0.558	0.029	22.9
4	22	7653.369	0.572	0.026	10.9
Step2					
1	13	7857.903			
2	19	7633.289	0.631	0.000	43.7
3	25	7649.23	0.478	0.620	13.5
Females					
Step1					
1	14	18982.422			
2	18	18060.241	0.748	0.011	21.1
3	22	17740.017	0.555	0.001	13.1
4	26	17589.537	0.622	0.000	1.0
Step2					
2	23	17525.13	0.499		16.2
3 ^b	29	17520.03	0.655	0.064	1.4
Males					
Step1					
1	14	9909.252			
2	18	9322.168	0.877	0.007	12.9
3	22	9151.984	0.877	0.001	2.1
4	26	9069.625	0.827	0.035	1.1
Step2					
2	23	8992.793	0.715		10.7
3 ^a	29	8950.85	0.719	0.035	4.3

BIC, Bayesian Information Criterion (lower values imply better model fit); LMR, Lo-Mendell-Rubin likelihood ratio test (p-value <0.05 indicates that the K₀-class model provides significantly better fit to the observed data than the preceding K_{-1} -class model); Entropy: higher values imply better classification quality.

Step 1: Selection of number of classes without including any random effects.

Step 2: Selection of the best model including random effects for intercept and slope, based on the likelihood ratio test (LRT) and the Lo-Mendell Rubin (LMR) test. Step 2 starts from the best model in Step1.

^{*}Reported smallest class sizes are based on individuals' most likely class membership.

^aThe 4-class solution did not converge. Therefore, we reported the 3-class model with quadratic slope and a class-invariant random intercept and slope.

^bAlthough the LMR showed a p-value >0.05, we reported the 3-class model for females in order to make it comparable to the best solution found for males.

 .			 	
Sunnlementary	/ Lable 4 Correlation	matrix among a	nsychosocial and	hehavioral exposures
Supplementary		matrix among a	psychosocial and	schuvioral caposales.

	Education	Occupation	Financial strain	Social connections	Social support	Social participation	Smoking	BMI	Physical activity
Education (elementary/high school vs. university)	1.00								
Occupation (manual vs. non-manual)	0.64**	1.00							
Financial strain (yes vs. no)	0.06	0.15**	1.00						
Social connections (low vs. middle/high)	0.30**	0.20**	0.1*	1.00					
Social support (low vs. middle/high)	0.23**	0.18**	0.15**	0.57**	1.00				
Social participation (low vs. middle/high)	0.17**	0.12**	-0.09	0.11**	0.21**	1.00			
Smoking (current/former vs. never)	-0.08**	-0.14**	0.03	-0.11**	0.00	0.08*	1.00		
BMI (obese/overweight vs. normal/underweight)	-0.04	-0.05	0.11*	-0.11*	-0.07*	0.01	0.08**	1.00	
Physical activity (inadequate vs. fitness-/health-enhancing)	0.25**	0.23**	0.04	0.33**	0.33**	0.19**	-0.06*	0.00	1.00

Significance levels for each pairwise correlation: *p<0.05; **p <0.01.

Note: most pairwise correlations were of low intensity (i.e. 0 to ± 0.3), except for those between physical activity vs. social connections (0.33) and physical activity vs. social support (0.33), which were moderate, and those between education vs. occupation (0.64) and social connections vs. social support (0.57), which were strong.

Supplementary Table 5. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for belonging to the middle/worst vs. best trajectory. Results for non-dichotomized exposures.

	Model I	Model II	Model III
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Socioeconomic factors			
Education <i>Elementary vs. university</i>	2.53 (1.82-3.52)	2.40 (1.66-3.47)	2.05 (1.33-3.16)
High school vs. university	1.74 (1.36-2.22)	1.76 (1.36-2.29)	1.35 (1.00-1.82)
Occupation Manual vs. non-manual	1.54 (1.20-1.97)	1.20 (0.92-1.57)	1.06 (0.76-1.48)
Financial strain Yes vs. no	2.80 (1.95-4.02)	2.71 (1.88-3.92)	2.51 (1.59-3.96)
Psychosocial factors			
Social connections Low vs. high	2.36 (1.76-3.16)	1.93 (1.39-2.70)	1.60 (1.12-2.29)
Middle vs. high	1.53 (1.14-2.06)	1.45 (1.06-1.97)	1.27 (0.91-1.77)
Social support Low vs. high	1.91 (1.43-2.54)	1.29 (0.93-1.81)	1.04 (0.72-1.49)
Middle vs. high	1.28 (0.96-1.71)	1.10 (0.81-1.50)	1.08 (0.78-1.50)
Social participation Low vs. high	1.65 (1.12-2.43)	1.62 (1.09-2.40)	1.47 (0.96-2.24)
Middle vs. high	1.10 (0.70-1.70)	1.12 (0.71-1.75)	1.14 (0.71-1.84)
Behavioral factors			
Smoking Current vs. never	1.77 (1.32-2.35)	1.50 (1.09-2.07)	1.41 (0.98-2.02)
Former vs. never	1.12 (0.88-1.42)	1.10 (0.85-1.42)	1.12 (0.84-1.51)

BMI	2 62 (1 94-3 53)	2 17 (1 58-2 97)	2 20 (1 54-3 14)
Obese vs. normal	2.02 (1.)+ 5.55)	2.17 (1.50 2.57)	2.20 (1.54 5.14)
Overweight vs. normal	1.12 (0.87-1.44)	1.08 (0.83-1.41)	1.15 (0.85-1.55)
Underweight vs. normal	2.50 (1.32-4.75)	2.03 (1.05-3.93)	2.00 (0.85-4.74)
Physical activity	7.73(5.42-11.02)	5 91 (4 10-8 53)	4 32 (2 90-6 41)
Inadequate vs. fitness-enhancing	7.75 (5.42-11.02)	5.91 (4.10-0.55)	4.32 (2.90-0.41)
Health-enhancing vs. fitness-enhancing	1.86 (1.31-2.63)	1.75 (1.23-2.49)	1.61 (1.10-2.34)

Model I adjusted by baseline age, and sex.

Model II adjusted by baseline age, sex and all domain-specific factors.

Model III adjusted by baseline age, sex and all other factors.