The prognostic significance and immune correlation of SLC10A3 in low-grade gliomas revealed by bioinformatic analysis and multiple immunohistochemistry

Yang He^{1,*}, Junlin Song^{2,*}, Yong Qin¹, Dejia Mao¹, Dacheng Ding¹, Shanwu Wu¹, Huawei Wu^{1,3}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Sinopharm Dongfeng General Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan 442000, Hubei, China
²Department of Neurosurgery, Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou, China
³Department of Neurosurgery, Wuhan Forth Hospital, Wuhan 430000, Hubei, China
*Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Huawei Wu, Shanwu Wu; email: whtps://orcid.org/0009-0005-5795-3392;shanwu.2008.happy@163.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0005-5795-3392;shanwu.2008.happy@163.comhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8265-0506Keywords: low-grade gliomas, multiplex immunohistochemistry, immunotherapy, correlation analysis, immunemicroenvironmentReceived: February 8, 2023Accepted: April 17, 2023Published: May 10, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 He et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Despite the fact that genetic risk factors contribute to low-grade gliomas (LGGs), the role of critical genes as prognostic and therapeutic biomarkers is quite limited. This study is designed to comprehensively investigate the prognostic role and predictive ability of solute carrier family 10 member 3 (*SLC10A3*) for immunotherapy in LGGs.

Methods: We analyzed the prognostic value of *SLC10A3* from multiple datasets of LGG patients, and explored its immune correlation via multiple algorithms. Finally, we independently confirmed the clinical significance and its immune correlation using the multiplex staining assay of LGG samples on the tissue microarray.

Results: *SLC10A3* mRNA was up-regulated in LGGs compared with normal brain tissues, and correlated with tumor grade, histological type, IDH wide type and non-codel 1p19q. Up-regulation of SLC10A3 transcription was remarkably associated with shortened overall survival time compared with down-regulation in TCGA, CGGA and Rembrandt datasets, and *SLC10A3* exhibited good predictive ability for survival outcomes among LGGs. Correlation analyses showed that *SLC10A3* mRNA expression correlates well with the six immune check points and immune cells. When the expression and immune correlation of *SLC10A3* at the translational level were verified via multiplex immunohistochemistry, expression of *SLC10A3* protein was higher in LGG compared with normal tissues, and expression of *SLC10A3* mRNA is statistically associated with adverse survival outcomes and immune infiltration among LGGs. *SLC10A3* might be a reliable survival predictor and a promising immunotherapy target for LGG patients.

INTRODUCTION

Low-grade gliomas (LGGs) are slow-growing intracranial tumors with the tendency for the

transformation of high-grade glioma. LGGs seriously reduce patients' quality of life both due to the lesion in brain and the side effects of treatment [1]. The utility of molecular feature for LGGs is recommended in the 2021 WHO guideline, which highly emphasizes the prognostic role of IDH1 mutation in LGGs [2]. The standard treatment for LGGs is surgical resection along with postoperative chemoradiotherapy, and the survival outcomes of LGG individuals are generally better than high-grade glioma population [3]. Besides, immunotherapy, which regulates the tumor immune microenvironment, has been successfully utilized in patients with melanoma, lung cancer, and even gliomas [4]. However, not all the individuals with LGGs benefit from immunotherapy, so accurate selection of immunotherapies requires a comprehensive understanding of the underlying tumor immune phenotype.

The solute carrier (SLC) family 10 (SLC10) encodes protein for transporters of various agents, bile acids and steroidal hormones, and SLC01A3 belongs to the SLC10 family [5]. SLC10A3, located in an unmethylated CpG island, is a 4 kb gene. SLC10A3, correlated to the human X chromosome, is a housekeeping protein [6]. SLC10A3 consists of 477 amino acids, with a calculated mass of approximately 50 kDa, but the functions of SLC10A3 protein are largely unknown. Chen et al. [7] used the two nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) technologies to reveal that SLC10A3 expression was closely correlated to the resistance of chemotherapy in ovarian cancer. A recent research performed by Tian et al. [8] uncovered the clinical relevance and immune correlation of SLC10A3 in liver cancer. Our bioinformatic analysis unveiled that expression of SLC10A3 in LGGs is highly correlated with the infiltration abundance of immune cells and the expression of immune checkpoint. Hence, systematically illustrating role of SLC10A3 in the tumor immune microenvironment is conducive to identify a novel therapeutic target for LGGs.

It is significant to evaluate the abnormal changes in SLC10A3 expression and the relationship between SLC10A3 expression and survival outcomes and immune cells by conducting an integrative analysis before the wild application of SLC10A3 in the clinical practice. In this work, we initially determined the differential expression of SLC10A3 in LGG tissues and normal brain specimens. Then we explored the role of SLC10A3 mRNA in the survival assessment among LGG patients from TCGA, CGGA and Rembrandt datasets. Importantly, due to the controversial survival significance of SLC10A3 RNA in LGG, we undertook meta-analysis to determine the overall prognostic significance of SLC10A3 in LGG. Subsequently, we correlated the SLC10A3 expression and immune association in the LGG dataset. Finally, we employed multiple immunohistochemistry the (mIHC), a sophisticated assay of multiplex immunofluorescence staining, which allows simultaneous detection of a panel of target proteins, to determine the immune microenvironment and ascertain the correlation between *SLC10A3* expression and immune checkpoints (PD-1 and PD-L1) at the protein level.

RESULTS

SLC10A3 expression is up-regulated in LGGs

We initially mined TIMER database to investigate the expression of SLC10A3 transcription in pan-cancer and LGGs. As displayed in Figure 1A, we found that SLC10A3 is up-regulated in most types of malignant tumors, such as breast cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, esophageal carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, liver cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer, gastric cancer. While SLC10A3 is down-regulated in renal cancer, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and thyroid carcinoma. Due to the lack of normal brain tissues from TCGA-LGG dataset, we could not know whether there existed the difference of SLC10A3 expression between LGGs and normal brain tissues. Thereby, we further explored the Shiny database, which is mainly based on the TCGA data and GTEx database. In line with the results from TIMER, we still observed that SLC10A3 expression was up-regulated in most malignant tumors, including LGGs (Figure 1B). Next, we downloaded the original gene expression data of TCGA-LGG (N=523) and GTEx (N=1152), and the comparison was also significant (Figure 1C).

Relationship between *SLC10A3* **expression and clinical features of LGGs**

We compared the *SLC10A3* mRNA expression in different clinical groups. As exhibited in Figure 2A–2I, we found that *SLC10A3* expression was significantly higher in LGGs with G3 (P<0.001), IDH wide type (P<0.001), non-codel 1p19q (P<0.0001), astrocytoma (P<0.001) and progression (P<0.01). Hence, due to the close association between SLC10A3 and worse clinical factors, we inferred that *SLC10A3* serves as an oncogene in LGGs.

Down-regulation of *SLC10A3* is a reliable prognostic indicator for LGGs

Pan-cancer analysis revealed that up-regulation of *SLC10A3* is not only a risk factor for worse overall survival among LGGs (Figure 3A), but also a risk factor for inferior progression-free survival among LGGs (Figure 3B). We classified these LGG individuals into low *SLC10A3* group and high *SLC10A3* group based on the median expression value of *SLC10A3*. According to the survival curves, up-regulation of *SLC10A3*

transcription is remarkably associated with shortened overall survival time compared with down-regulation (HR=2.77, 95%CI:1.92-3.99, P<0.0001, Figure 3C). Similarly, up-regulation of *SLC10A3* transcription is remarkably associated with shortened progression-free survival time compared with down-regulation (HR=2.22, 95%CI:1.67-2.95, P<0.0001, Figure 3D). Next, we drew the td-ROC curves to measure the predictive ability of *SLC10A3* expression for the 1-year, 3-year and 5-year survival rate of LGG individuals. The expression of *SLC10A3* reached good predictive performance for overall survival rate (1-year AUC: 0.772, 3-year AUC:0.716, 5-year AUC:0.667, Figure 3E), and for progression-free survival (1-year AUC: 0.697, 3-year AUC:0.675, 5-year AUC:0.726, Figure 3F).

In order to externally confirm the prognostic significance, we also explored the CGGA database and

Rembrandt dataset. We downloaded the original gene expression data and only retained the LGGs' data. The survival curves (Figure 4A-4D) displayed that upregulation of SLC10A3 in LGGs is also a risk prognostic factor in the four LGG datasets, but the difference was not significant in CGGA dataset 3. So we carried out the meta-analysis via STATA software to confirm whether up-regulation of SLC10A3 transcription is a risk prognostic indicator for LGGs. As listed in Supplementary Figure 1, up-regulation of SLC10A3 transcription is remarkably correlated with less favorable overall survival among LGG population (HR=3.07, 95%CI:1.68-5.63, P<0.0001). Subsequently, we also explored the predictive ability of SLC10A3 expression for survival rate, and we found that SLC10A3 expression still exhibited nice predictive performance for 1-year, 3year and 5-year survival rate based on four LGG datasets (Figure 4E-4H).



Figure 1. Expression levels of *SLC10A3* **transcription across pan-cancer and LGG.** (A) Expression profiles of SLC10A3 mRNA across pan-cancer tissues and normal tissues based on TCGA data. (B) Expression profiles of *SLC10A3* transcription in pan-cancer tissues and normal tissues based on TCGA and GTEx data. (C) Expression levels of SLC10A3 are up-regulated in LGG tissues compared with normal tissues.

Immune correlation with *SLC10A3* expression in LGGs

The extent of immune cell infiltration in tumor areas is an important risk factor affecting the survival outcomes of LGGs [9]. Single-factor Cox regression provided by TIMER database showed that *SLC10A3* expression and infiltration of immune cells were the prognostic factors of LGGs (Supplementary Figure 2A–2F), and multiple Cox regression analysis displayed that higher expression of *SLC10A3* and macrophage infiltration were the independent risk indicators for less favorable overall survival among patients with LGGs (Supplementary Table 1). Furthermore, we focused our attention on the relationship between immune cell infiltration and *SLC10A3* expression. Correlation plots displayed that expression of *SLC10A3* was closely linked with the infiltration of immune cells, such as B cells (r= 0.456, P= $6.28*10^{-26}$, Supplementary Figure 2G), CD8+ T cells (r=0.25, P= $3.08*10^{-8}$, Supplementary Figure 2H), CD4 +T cells (r=0.547, P= $1.7*10^{-38}$, Supplementary Figure 2I), tumor associated macrophage (TAM) (r=0.562, P= $9.36*10^{-41}$, Supplementary Figure 2J), neutrophil (r=0.606, P= $6.19*10^{-49}$, Supplementary Figure 2K) and dendritic cell (r=0.593, P= $1.67*10^{-46}$, Supplementary Figure 2L).



Figure 2. Distribution of *SLC10A3* transcription among different clinical groups. (A) age. (B) gender. (C) race. (D) WHO grade. (E) IDH mutation status. (F) 1p19q co-deletion. (G) laterality. (H) histological type. (I) primary therapy outcome.

We searched the TISIDB database to further confirm the relationship between SLC10A3 expression and immune cells in LGG. Figure 5A exhibited the relationship between SLC10A3 expression and immune cells in pan-cancer, and the most close relationship was seen in LGG and GBM. The detailed correlation plots also verified the strong relationship between SLC10A3 expression and immune cells in LGGs (Figure 5B-5G). We assessed the distribution of SLC10A3 mRNA in different immune types of LGG, and statistical analysis proved that distribution of SLC10A3 mRNA was different among the four immune types ($P=1.01*10^{-19}$, Supplementary Figure 3A), with C3 (inflammatory) exhibiting the highest SLC10A3 mRNA. As for the six molecular types of LGG, results of one-way ANOVA showed that distribution of SLC10A3 was significantly different among the six molecular types of LGG (P=6.84*10⁻²⁶, Supplementary Figure 3B), with 1p19q codel displaying the lowest SLC10A3 expression. Finally, we employed the ssGSEA to explore the correlation of SLC10A3 with most types of immune cells in LGGs. As shown in Figure 6A, expression of SLC10A3 is strongly associated with infiltration of macrophages, followed by neutrophils, which was almost consistent with the correlation results from TIMER database. When we divided the LGG individuals into high SLC10A3 and low SLC10A3 groups, we noticed that the distributions of immune cells were almost different between high SLC10A3 and low SLC10A3 groups except for dendritic cells (Figure 6B–6G).

Correlation analysis between *SLC10A3* and immune check points in LGGs

We selected TIMER algorithm to quantify the association between SLC10A3 expression and six immune check points. Strong relationships between SLC10A3 expression and PD-1 (r=0.568, P=2.29e⁻⁴⁵, Supplementary Figure 4A), PD-L1 (r=0.478, P=8.98e⁻³¹, Supplementary Figure 4B), PD-L2 (r=0.549, P=6.74e⁻⁴², Supplementary Figure 4C), HAVCR2 (r=0.585, P=9.63e⁻⁴⁹, Supplementary Figure 4D), IDO1(r=0.433, P=5.16e-25, Supplementary Figure 4E) and LAG3 (r=0.348, P=4.1e⁻¹⁶, Supplementary Figure 4F). Next, we browsed the TISIDB database for further verification. Fortunately, we also noticed the positive relationships between SLC10A3 expression and the six immune check points in LGG (Supplementary Figure 3C-3H). In a word, SLC10A3 expression correlates well with the six immune check points, indicating that SLC10A3 might occupy an important role in the regulation of immunotherapy.

In the whole ICIs cohort, we did not observe the statistical difference of *SLC10A3* expression between responders and non-responders (Supplementary Figure 5A). When we classified the population into primary and recurrent tumor individuals, and the expression of *SLC10A3* was not significantly different between the two subgroups among primary tumor population (Supplementary Figure 5B), but the expression of *SLC10A3* was remarkably different between the



Figure 3. Prognostic role of *SLC10A3* **in pan-cancer and LGG.** Single-factor Cox regression analysis reveals that up-regulation of *SLC10A3* is a risk factor for poor overall survival (**A**) and progression-free survival (**B**) among LGG individuals. Survival curves display that down-regulated of *SLC10A3* is correlated with favorable overall survival (**C**) and progression-free survival (**D**) among LGG individuals. *SLC10A3* showed nice performance for the prediction of 1-year, 3-year, 5-year overall survival rate (**E**) and progression-free survival (**F**) rate for LGG individuals.

two subgroups among recurrent tumor population (Supplementary Figure 5C). We drew the ROC plots to quantify the predictive ability of *SLC10A3* to immunotherapy. The predictive capacity of *SLC10A3* was 0.505 in the whole cohort (Supplementary Figure 5D), 0.507 in the primary tumor cohort (Supplementary Figure 5E) and 0.674 in the recurrent tumor cohort (Supplementary Figure 5F). We noticed that the predictive capacity of *SLC10A3* was the highest among recurrent tumor population, most likely to the fact that they exhibited the higher expression of *SLC10A3*, and

up-regulation of *SLC10A3* correlating well with the immune check points.

Biological pathways of *SLC10A3* **in LGGs**

We only selected the co-expressed genes with |logFC|>2and P<0.0001 for the subsequent enrichment analysis. After running with clusterProfiler package, the biological processes of *SLC10A3* in LGG were identified. KEGG pathways revealed that *SLC10A3* was most likely involved in cytokine-cytokine receptor



Figure 4. Validating the prognostic value of *SLC10A3* with other LGG datasets. Survival curves display that down-regulated of *SLC10A3* is correlated with favorable overall survival in CGGA dataset 1 (A), CGGA dataset 2 (B), CGGA dataset 3 (C), and Rembrandt dataset (D), *SLC10A3* showed nice performance for the prediction of 1-year, 3-year, 5-year overall survival rate in CGGA dataset 1 (E), CGGA dataset 2 (F), CGGA dataset 3 (G), and Rembrandt dataset (H).



Figure 5. Correlation between infiltrations of immune cells and expression of *SLC10A3* **in pan-cancer and LGG.** (A) Heat map displays expression of *SLC10A3* is correlated well with most immune cells in LGG and GBM. Expression of *SLC10A3* is highly associated with the infiltrations of B cells (B) CD8+T cells (C) CD4+T cells (D) macrophages (E) neutrophils (F) and dendritic cells (G) in LGG.



Figure 6. Distribution of *SLC10A3* **in various of immune cells in LGG.** (A) Correlation analyses of *SLC10A3* and 24 immune cells measured by ssGSEA in LGG. Expression of *SLC10A3* is significantly different subgroups divided by B cells (B) CD8+T cells (C) T helper cells (D) macrophages (E) neutrophils (F) and dendritic cells (G) in LGG.

interaction, viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor and transcriptional misregulation in cancer (Supplementary Figure 6A). The detailed genes involved in biological pathways and KEGG pathways were listed in Supplementary Figure 6B.

Validation of the association at translational level via mIHC

To further confirm the above immune correlations at translational level for clinical pathology, an advanced method of mIHC was utilized to detect the protein expression of *SLC10A3* and the selected immune markers on LGG tissues (Figure 7A–7I) and normal tissues (Supplementary Figure 7A–7I). Then, we compared the detailed parameters of *SLC10A3* between LGG and normal tissues. As shown in Supplementary Figure 8A–8I, we noticed that number of *SLC10A3*

positive cells is more in LGG tissues than normal tissues in total area and tumor area, and *SLC10A3* average cell intensity was stronger in LGG tissues than normal tissues in stroma area. Subsequently, we analyzed the correlation between *SLC10A3* expression and immune markers at the translational level via Spearman correlation. As listed in Table 1, we observed that *SLC10A3* expression was correlated well with macrophage, CD4 T cell and CD20 B cell in LGG, which is almost consistent with the correlation result from genetic level.

DISCUSSION

This is the first report to systematically unveil the expression profile, prognostic role, immune association and biological pathways of *SLC10A3* in LGGs. Our analysis identified that *SLC10A3* mRNA was highly



Figure 7. Multiplex immunohistochemistry profiling of *SLC10A3* and immune markers in LGG. (A) PD1 (pink), (B) CD4 (yellow), (C) PD-L1 (white), (D) *SLC10A3* (blue). (E) CD20 (red), (F) CD68 (green). (G) The merged image of seven markers. (H) Each marker stands for one special color. (I) Cell phenotype image constructed by the seven markers in the multiplex staining.

Area	Indexes	PD1	CD4	PD-L1	CD20	CD68
	SLC10A3 Positive Cells	0.295	0.245	0.268	0.031	0.474**
Total area	SLC10A3 Avg Positive Cytoplasm Intensity	-0.048	0.436**	-0.044	-0.083	0.186
	SLC10A3 Avg Cell Intensity	0.293	0.451**	0.264	0.627**	0.579**
	SLC10A3 Positive Cells	0.255	0.249	0.2	-0.067	0.485**
Tumor area	SLC10A3 Avg Positive Cytoplasm Intensity	-0.048	0.413*	-0.066	-0.173	0.163
	SLC10A3 Avg Cell Intensity	0.296	0.481**	0.295	0.651**	0.602**
	SLC10A3 Positive Cells	0.259	0.333*	-0.147	0.175	0.363*
Stromal area	SLC10A3 Avg Positive Cytoplasm Intensity	0.105	0.394*	0.024	0.108	0.264
	SLC10A3 Avg Cell Intensity	-0.225	0.17	-0.172	0.023	0.515**

Table 1. Correlations analyses of SLC10A3 and immune markers in LGG detected by mIHC.

expressed in LGG tissues than the normal brain tissues. Survival analysis from multiple datasets highlighted that over-expression of SLC10A3 mRNA is significantly linked to worse overall survival among LGGs except for Rembrandt dataset. Hence, we undertook the metaanalysis to ensure whether SLC10A3 is a prognostic factor in LGG patients, and the overall survival significance represented by HRs from 1490 LGGs proved our hypothesis. The close relationship between SLC10A3 expression and various immune cells is observed in LGG tissues, which is not only calculated by TIMER but also validated by ssGSEA algorithms. Finally, we utilized the multiple IHC assay to test the expression of several immune cell markers and immune check points (PD-1 and PD-L1) in LGG tissues and corresponding normal tissues. The experimental results proved the close association between SLC10A3 protein and macrophages infiltration in LGG tissues. In summary, our analysis demonstrated that SLC10A3 is an oncogene and may play an important role in the immune response in LGG.

Tumor-infiltrating immune cells belong to the complex tumor microenvironment and may occupy an important role in the tumorigenesis [10]. Tumorigenesis and tumor metastasis are characterized by immune evasion, which is a major obstacle to effective therapy against LGGs [11]. A thorough understanding of the immune microenvironment of LGG is crucial to enhance the efficacy of current Immune checkpoint blockers [12]. The mIHC, a novel biotechnology, provides multiplex staining and standardized quantitative analysis for highly cost-effective tissue studies when compared with the conventional IHC assay. The fast development of multiple immunofluorescences leads to the successful application of mIHC, which makes it possible to detect a panel of fluorescent proteins on one tissue microarray (TMA) slide within the same time. Huang et al. used the mIHC assay to reveal that spatial heterogeneity of macrophage in gastric cancer [13]. Zhang et al. [14] applied this novel technology identified CD133 and PD-L1 as diagnostic biomarkers for colorectal cancer (CRC) with early-stage, while they identified the HER2 as an advanced biomarker for CRC. To our knowledge, this is the first research to report the application of mIHC in LGGs. The mIHC results showed that *SLC10A3* protein is correlated well with the abundance of TAMs in the stromal areas of LGGs, which is consistent with the results from transcription level based on data from TCGA-LICH dataset. Moreover, our results revealed *SLC10A3* acts as the malignant characters in LGGs partly via the enrichment with TAMs.

MIHC is widely considered to play an increasingly important role in the field of cancer immunotherapy [15]. Lu et al. [16] found that mIHC is correlated with better performance in the prediction of response to PD-1 or PD-L1 treatment in malignant tumors when compared to tumor mutational burden, IHC alone. Joe et al. [17] clarified that mIHC can simultaneously quantify PD-L1 labeling with multiple antibodies, and allow accurate analysis of immune cells and immune check markers, which serves a promising assay in the era of immunotherapy. Our bioinformatic analysis discovered that expression of SLC10A3 transcription is highly linked to the expression of PD-1 based on different algorithms. The further mIHC assay also confirmed the relationship in stromal tissues of LGGs. Moreover, enrichment analysis also demonstrated that SLC10A3 co-expressed genes are involved in antigen binding and immunoglobulin complex. We inferred that SLC10A3 plays the important role in the regulation of immunotherapy in LGGs, which might be a potential biomarker for the response to immunotherapy in LGGs.

LGGs are generally divided into two subgroups based on IDH mutation status due to the different survival outcomes, while LGG individuals with EGFR amplification usually experienced poor prognosis. The well-established prognostic markers of LGGs include IDH mutation, MGMT methylation and 1p/19q codeletion [18]. With the clinical application of immunotherapy in LGGs, it's quite necessary to develop

novel survival indicators that could predict the immunotherapy response. In the present analysis, we found that low levels of SLC10A3 transcription is significantly associated with better survival outcomes based on TGCA-LGG dataset. When validated with other LGG datasets, we found the survival significance is not unified. Meta-analysis is increasingly important in the field of cancer research [19, 20]. Meta-analysis is an easy-to-use method for combining the results from different researches, and this method is utilized to resolve conflicts among different studies [21]. Recently, metaanalysis has also been applied in resolving conflicts among different datasets [22]. Five public datasets related to LGGs were adopted in our analysis, and we used the Kaplan-Meier curves to assess the prognostic value of SLC10A3 in LGGs. Except for CGGA dataset 3. low levels of SLC10A3 transcription were significantly linked to favorable overall survival among LGGs. Hence, metaanalysis was performed to solve the conflicting issue, and the overall results depicting that SLC10A3 is a reliable prognostic indicator for LGGs.

Although this is the first report related to the expression profiles, survival significance, immune correlation and biological functions of *SLC10A3* in LGGs, this analysis still existed three limitations. First, we could not directly calculate the performance of *SLC10A3* for the prediction of immunotherapy response among LGGs, due to the lack of LGGs cohort of immunotherapy. Then, our study is mainly based on the bioinformatic exploration and mIHC validation, but no cellular experiments are carried out to investigate the exact biological function. As for the mIHC validation, the included patients were only 36 cases. Except for the small sample size, we did not obtain the survival data. Thereby, our next research will focus on the exact biological mechanism of *SLC10A3* in glioma.

CONCLUSIONS

SLC10A3 mRNA and protein are up-regulated in LGGs compared with normal brain tissues, and the up-regulation of *SLC10A3* transcription is significantly correlated with adverse survival outcomes of LGGs individuals. *SLC10A3* plays a significant role in immune cell infiltration and regulation of immunotherapy response. Therefore, *SLC10A3* might be a reliable survival index and a promising immunotherapy target for LGG patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Comprehensive bioinformatic analysis

TIMER database (<u>https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/</u>, version 2.0) was mined to investigate the *SLC10A3*

expression in pan-cancer, and to assess its immune correlation with a list of immune cells and immune check points. RNA expression data were utilized to assess the infiltration status of six immune cells (B cells, macrophages, CD8+ T cells, neutrophils, CD4+ T cells and dendritic cells) in LGG tissues. Spearman correlation analysis was selected to quantitatively measure the potential correlation between immune infiltration and SLC10A3 expression. Xena shiny website (https://shiny.hiplot.com.cn/ucsc-xena-shiny/, version 1.0 beta) was searched to explored the expression and prognostic value of SLC10A3 expression in pan-cancer. We also downloaded the original gene expression data along with clinical information from UCSC Xena (http://xena.ucsc.edu/) and CGGA database (http://www.cgga.org). The clinical information of LGG individuals includes sex, race, age at diagnosis, IDH mutation, WHO grade, 1p19q codeletion, tumor site, histological type and treatment response. As the CGGA database contains three different glioma datasets, we renamed them as CGGA dataset 1(mRNAseq 693), CGGA dataset 2 (mRNAseq_325) and CGGA dataset 3 (mRNA-array_301), and we excluded the patients with high-grade gliomas. TISIDB database (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB/index.php) was explored to elucidate the SLC10A3 expression and immune cell interplay in LGG. Furthermore, we adopted R software (version 3.6.3) to complete the ssGSEA via the GSVA package and enrichment analysis via the clusterProfiler package. ROC Plotter database (https://www.rocplot.org/) is able to link response to immunotherapy and gene expression using RNA-sequencing data of various malignant tumors. We selected the module of ROC Plotter for immunotherapy, and then entered SLC10A3. We downloaded the original results of immunotherapy and redrew the comparative plots and ROC curves.

Sample collection

We collected sample tissues of LGG to verify the proteomic association between SLC10A3 expression and immune cells (CD20 B cell, CD4 T cell, macrophage) and immune check markers (PD-1, PD-L1). We only included the primary LGG patients who experienced surgical resection. A total of 36 cases of LGG along with paracancerous samples during 2021-1 to 2022-1 were finally included in our study. We constructed the TMA based on the collected samples for the subsequent mIHC assay. Our study was proceeded under the approval of the Clinical Ethics Committee of Sinopharm Dongfeng general hospital (LW-2022-038), and all the procedures were conducted in line with the Declaration of Helsinki. All the patients gave their consent to this study prior to study commencement.

Process of mIHC

In our experiment, TSA (Tyramide Signal Amplification) technology is utilized in in immunohistochemistry. By combining AlphaTSA 7-color fluorescent staining kit with multispectral imaging analysis technology, we successfully achieved fluorescence labeling and weak signal imaging. Specifically., the LGG TMA slide was deparaffinized by dimethylbenzene, followed by ethanol with different concentration. After rinsing, the LGG TMA slide was pre-treated with citric acid solution (pH 6.0) by microwaving method for antigen retrieval. Subsequently, the LGG TMA slide was blocked in 10% BSA blocking solution, and then stained with the primary antibodies against CD20, CD4, CD68, SLC10A3, PD-1 and PD-L1 (Supplementary Table 2), respectively for 1 h at room temperature. Each second antibody binds to a different fluorophore using AlphaTSA Multiplex IHC kit (No.AXT37100031, Beijing, China). Cell nucleus were finally stained with DAPI after all the six antigens being labeled. After mounting, the LGG TMA slide was imaged for quantitative analysis via ZEN software (version 3.3).

Statistical analysis

All the related statistical tasks were performed using SPSS (version 18.0) and STATA (version 11.0). The relationships between SLC10A3 expression and pathological features evaluated by chi-square test for categorical indexes, otherwise by the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous data. Kaplan-Meier curves were applied to compare the survival difference between high SLC10A3 group and low SLC10A3 group, and the survival difference was evaluated by log-rank test. The predictive efficacy of SLC10A3 mRNA for 1-year, 3-year and 5-year overall survival rates was evaluated by time-dependent (td) receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Correlation between SLC10A3 with immune cells and immune check points were rated by Spearman correlation analysis. Meta-analysis was employed via STATA software to ensure the overall prognostic significance of SLC10A3 in LGG individuals. As for meta-analysis of the overall prognostic effect, pooled HRs for survival along with its 95% CI were calculated by a fixed-effects model if there is no substantial heterogeneity across various LGG datasets; otherwise, a random-effects model was performed if there exists significant heterogeneity across various LGG datasets. Heterogeneity across various LGG datasets was assessed with the I² statistic. P values less than 0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

Data sharing statement

The datasets and original data used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Wu SW and Wu HW designed the research. YH and Song JL collected the clinical data. YQ, Mao DJ and Ding DC analyzed the data. YH and Song JL wrote the manuscript. Wu SW and Wu HW revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yun Jia and her team from Alpha X Biotech (Beijing) Co., Ltd, (Beijing, China) for the support of multiplexed immunohistochemical technique.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT AND CONSENT

Our study plan was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Sinopharm Dongfeng general hospital (No. LW-2022-038) and all the procedures were conducted in line with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

FUNDING

No funding was provided for this study.

REFERENCES

- Haddad AF, Young JS, Oh JY, Okada H, Aghi MK. The immunology of low-grade gliomas. Neurosurg Focus. 2022; 52:E2. <u>https://doi.org/10.3171/2021.11.FOCUS21587</u> PMID:35104791
- Jamshidi P, Brat DJ. The 2021 WHO classification of central nervous system tumors: what neurologists need to know. Curr Opin Neurol. 2022; 35:764–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.000000000001109</u> PMID:<u>36226717</u>
- Dhawan S, Patil CG, Chen C, Venteicher AS. Early versus delayed postoperative radiotherapy for treatment of low-grade gliomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020; 1:CD009229.

https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009229.pub3 PMID:<u>31958162</u>

- Abbott M, Ustoyev Y. Cancer and the Immune System: The History and Background of Immunotherapy. Semin Oncol Nurs. 2019; 35:150923. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2019.08.002</u> PMID:<u>31526550</u>
- Claro da Silva T, Polli JE, Swaan PW. The solute carrier family 10 (SLC10): beyond bile acid transport. Mol Aspects Med. 2013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2012.07.004</u> PMID:23506869
- Hagenbuch B, Dawson P. The sodium bile salt cotransport family SLC10. Pflugers Arch. 2004; 447:566–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-003-1130-z</u> PMID:12851823
- Cheng L, Lu W, Kulkarni B, Pejovic T, Yan X, Chiang JH, Hood L, Odunsi K, Lin B. Analysis of chemotherapy response programs in ovarian cancers by the nextgeneration sequencing technologies. Gynecol Oncol. 2010; 117:159–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.01.041</u> PMID:20181382
- Tian S, Li J, Xiang J, Peng P. The Clinical Relevance and Immune Correlation of SLC10 Family Genes in Liver Cancer. J Hepatocell Carcinoma. 2022; 9:1415–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/JHC.S392586</u> PMID:36606115
- 9. Lu J, Peng Y, Huang R, Feng Z, Fan Y, Wang H, Zeng Z, Ji Y, Wang Y, Wang Z. Elevated TYROBP expression predicts poor prognosis and high tumor immune infiltration in patients with low-grade glioma. BMC Cancer. 2021; 21:723. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08456-6</u> PMID:34162355
- Yan D, Li W, Liu Q, Yang K. Advances in Immune Microenvironment and Immunotherapy of Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Mutated Glioma. Front Immunol. 2022; 13:914618. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.914618</u> PMID:35769466
- 11. Wang SS, Bandopadhayay P, Jenkins MR. Towards Immunotherapy for Pediatric Brain Tumors. Trends Immunol. 2019; 40:748–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2019.05.009</u> PMID:<u>31229353</u>
- 12. Friedrich M, Bunse L, Wick W, Platten M. Perspectives of immunotherapy in isocitrate dehydrogenase-mutant gliomas. Curr Opin Oncol. 2018; 30:368–74.

https://doi.org/10.1097/CCO.000000000000478 PMID:<u>30102604</u>

- Huang YK, Wang M, Sun Y, Di Costanzo N, Mitchell C, Achuthan A, Hamilton JA, Busuttil RA, Boussioutas A. Macrophage spatial heterogeneity in gastric cancer defined by multiplex immunohistochemistry. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:3928. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11788-4</u>
 - PMID:<u>31477692</u>

PMID:34459208

 Zhang W, Song ZJ, Zhang BY, Wang JL, Guo Q, Sun ZW, Tang H. Multiplex immunohistochemistry indicates biomarkers in colorectal cancer. Neoplasma. 2021; 68:1272–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2021_210312N324</u>

 Tan WCC, Nerurkar SN, Cai HY, Ng HHM, Wu D, Wee YTF, Lim JCT, Yeong J, Lim TKH. Overview of multiplex immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence techniques in the era of cancer immunotherapy. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2020; 40:135–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12023</u> PMID:<u>32301585</u>

- Lu S, Stein JE, Rimm DL, Wang DW, Bell JM, Johnson DB, Sosman JA, Schalper KA, Anders RA, Wang H, Hoyt C, Pardoll DM, Danilova L, Taube JM. Comparison of Biomarker Modalities for Predicting Response to PD-1/PD-L1 Checkpoint Blockade: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 2019; 5:1195–204. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1549 PMID:<u>31318407</u>
- Yeong J, Tan T, Chow ZL, Cheng Q, Lee B, Seet A, Lim JX, Lim JCT, Ong CCH, Thike AA, Saraf S, Tan BYC, Poh YC, et al. Multiplex immunohistochemistry/ immunofluorescence (mIHC/IF) for PD-L1 testing in triple-negative breast cancer: a translational assay compared with conventional IHC. J Clin Pathol. 2020; 73:557–62.

https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2019-206252 PMID:<u>31969377</u>

- Cohen AL, Colman H. Glioma biology and molecular markers. Cancer Treat Res. 2015; 163:15–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12048-5_2</u> PMID:<u>25468223</u>
- 19. Doosti-Irani A, Mansournia MA, Cheraghi Z, Rahimi-Foroushani A, Haddad P, Holakouie-Naieni K. Network meta-analysis of palliative treatments in patients with esophageal cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2021; 168:103506.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103506 PMID:<u>34740823</u>

20. Zhao Y, Cheng B, Chen Z, Li J, Liang H, Chen Y, Zhu F, Li C, Xu K, Xiong S, Lu W, Chen Z, Zhong R, et al. Toxicity profile of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors for patients with lung cancer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2021; 160:103305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103305

PMID:<u>33757838</u>

21. Lee YH. An overview of meta-analysis for clinicians. Korean J Intern Med. 2018; 33:277–83. https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2016.195 PMID:29277096

22. Li J, Tian S, Guo Y, Dong W. Oncological Effects and Prognostic Value of AMAP1 in Gastric Cancer. Front Genet. 2021; 12:675100. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.675100</u> PMID:<u>34220948</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures

Meta-analysis of overall prognostic Study ID	effect of SLC10A3 mF	RNA expression (HR (95% CI)	Weight %
TCGA LGG dataset		2.77 (1.92, 3.99)	20.35
CGGA dataset 1		— 7.32 (5.42, 9.89)	20.85
CGGA dataset 2		4.47 (2.82, 7.08)	19.50
CGGA dataset 3		1.40 (0.89, 2.22)	19.53
Rembrandt dataset		2.06 (1.34, 3.18)	19.77
Overall (I-squared = 91.5%, p = 0.000)	$\langle \rangle$	3.07 (1.68, 5.63)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis			
0.5	1 1.5		

Supplementary Figure 1. Meta-analysis of the overall prognostic effect of *SLC10A3* among five LGG datasets.



Supplementary Figure 2. Survival analysis of immune cells and correlation with *SLC10A3* expression in LGG. Low expression of immune cells. ((A) B cell, (B) CD8+T cell, (C) CD4+T cell, (D) Macrophage, (E) Neutrophil, (F) Dentritic cell) is correlated with better overall survival in LGG. *SLC10A3* expression is positively associated with the abundance of immune cells ((G) B cell, (H) CD8+T cell, (I) CD4+T cell, (J) Macrophage, (K) Neutrophil, (L) Dentritic cell).



Supplementary Figure 3. Correlation of *SLC10A3* expression with immune sub-types and immune check points in LGG, which was obtained from TISIDB database. (A) Expression of *SLC10A3* is remarkably different among the four immune sub-types. (B) Expression of *SLC10A3* is remarkably different among the six molecular sub-types. Expression of *SLC10A3* is positively linked with immune check points ((C) PDCD1. (D) CD274. (E) PDCD1LG2. (F) HAVCR2. (G) IDO1. (H) LAG3).



Supplementary Figure 4. Correlation analysis of immune check points and *SLC10A3* expression in LGG, which was obtained from TIMER database. (A) PDCD1. (B) CD274. (C) PDCD1LG2. (D) HAVCR2. (E) IDO1. (F) LAG3.



Supplementary Figure 5. Predictive ability of *SLC10A3* **to the response of immunotherapy in pan-cancer ICIs cohort.** (A) There is no significant difference of *SLC10A3* between non-responders and responders in ICIs cohort. (B) There is no significant difference of *SLC10A3* between non-responders with primary tumor. (C) There is significant difference of *SLC10A3* between non-responders and responders with primary tumor. (C) There is significant difference of *SLC10A3* between non-responders and responders with primary tumor. (C) There is significant difference of *SLC10A3* between non-responders and responders with recurrent tumor. The predictive ability of *SLC10A3* for immunotherapy response is 0.505 for all the cancer population (D) 0.507 for the primary tumor individuals (E) and 0.674 for the recurrent tumor individuals (F).



Supplementary Figure 6. Enrichment analysis of *SLC10A3* **co-expressed genes in LGG. (A)** The typical pathways of GO and KEGG analysis of *SLC10A3* in LGG. (B) The detailed genes of the most significant GO and KEGG pathways.



Supplementary Figure 7. Multiplex immunohistochemistry profiling of *SLC10A3* and immune markers in normal brain tissues. (A) PD1(pink), (B) CD4(yellow), (C) PD-L1(white), (D) *SLC10A3* (blue). (E) CD20(red), (F) CD68(green). (G) The merged image of seven markers. (H) Each marker stands for one special color. (I) Cell phenotype image constructed by the seven markers in the multiplex staining.



Supplementary Figure 8. Comparison of *SLC10A3* expression between LGG and normal tissues in total area, tumor area and stromal area. (A) total *SLC10A3* positive cells, (B) total *SLC10A3* cytoplasm intensity, (C) total *SLC10A3* cell intensity. (D) tumor *SLC10A3* positive cells, (E) tumor *SLC10A3* cytoplasm intensity, (F) tumor *SLC10A3* cell intensity. (G) stromal *SLC10A3* positive cells, (H) stromal *SLC10A3* cell intensity. (I) stromal *SLC10A3* cell intensity.

Supplementary Tables

Immune cells	HR	95%CI	P value	
B_cell	2.696	0.009-777.626	0.731	
CD8_Tcell	53.174	0.06-47226.07	0.251	
CD4_Tcell	0.028	0.000-61.505	0.361	
Macrophage	309.482	5.617-16940.402	0.005	
Neutrophil	0.003	0.000-4.014	0.112	
Dendritic	4.76	0.111-203.213	0.415	
SLC10A3	2.302	1.242-4.266	0.008	

Supplementary Table 1. Multiple covariates Cox regression of overall survival of LGG individuals.

Supplementary Table 2. The detailed information of the primary and second antibodies in mIHC assay.

Antibody	SLC10A3	CD68	CD4	CD20	PDL1	PD1
No.	ab224438	ZM0060	ZM0418	ab78237	13684S	ZM0381
Species	rabbit	mouse	mouse	rabbit	rabbit	Mouse
Concentration	1:1000	1:500	1:100	1:100	1:100	1:100
Incubation	37° C 1hr	37° C 1hr	4° C ON	37° C 1hr	37° C 1hr	37° C 1Hr
condition						
Second	BD(1:3)	BD(1:3)	BD(1:3)	BD(1:3)	BD(1:3)	PV-8000
antibody						
Dye (1:100)	XTSA 480	XTSA 520	XTSA 570	XTSA 620	XTSA 690	XTSA 780